

THE UNIVERSITY OF  
**SYDNEY**

Economics Working Paper Series

2020 – 11

The Influence of Early-life Economic Shocks on  
Long-term Outcomes: Evidence from the U.S Great  
Depression

Valentina Duque and Lauren L. Schmitz

September 2020

# The Influence of Early-life Economic Shocks on Long-term Outcomes: Evidence from the U.S. Great Depression<sup>1</sup>

Valentina Duque  
University of Sydney

Lauren L. Schmitz  
University of Wisconsin-Madison

September 2020

## Abstract

We show that health and productivity around retirement age, and earnings over the life cycle, vary with exposure to economic conditions in early life. Using state-year-level variation from the most severe and prolonged economic downturn in American history—the Great Depression—combined with restricted micro-data from the Health and Retirement Study, we find that changes in macroeconomic indicators before age 6 are associated with changes in economic well-being, earnings, metabolic syndrome, and physical limitations decades later. We also document large declines in long-term mortality. Results are not driven by endogenous fertility responses throughout the 1930s. Our results help inform the design of retirement and healthcare systems and the long-term costs of business cycles.

Keywords: Human Capital, Poverty, Unemployment, Aging

JEL codes: I1, J13, I3, E24, J26

---

<sup>1</sup> Contact information: Duque, School of Economics, [valentina.duque@sydney.edu.au](mailto:valentina.duque@sydney.edu.au); Schmitz, Robert M. La Follette School of Public Affairs, [lschmitz@wisc.edu](mailto:lschmitz@wisc.edu). We would like to thank David Cutler, Jonathan Skinner, Jason Fletcher, Janet Currie, Doug Almond, Atheendar Venkataramani, Sean Fahle, Pauline Grosjean, Rodrigo Soares, Vellore Arthi, Nicole Maestas, and participants at the 2019 Australian Labor Economic Workshop, NBER Summer Institute (Aging) 2019, the ASHEcon 2018-2019, PAA 2018, LEW 2019 conferences, the Workshop on Economics of Health, Inequality and Behaviour (Macquarie U), and the University of Sydney and UTS economics and CHERE seminars for valuable feedback. We are especially grateful to Josh Hausman for guidance and for sharing historical data. Katrin Gurvich provided excellent research assistance. Funding for this project was generously provided by the a Steven H. Sandell grant through the Boston College Center for Retirement Research and the Social Security Administration, the Marshall Weinberg Endowment (G002832) through the PSC at the University of Michigan, and from the National Institute on Aging (NIA) (P30 AG012846 and K99 AG056599). This study is covered by University of Michigan IRB approval HUM00122253. Restricted data from the Health and Retirement Study was received under contract 2015-031. Any errors are our own.

## 1. Introduction

The Great Depression was the worst economic downturn in the history of the industrialized world. From 1929 to 1933, real output in the United States contracted by more than 25 percent, prices fell by 33 percent, and the unemployment rate increased from 3.2 percent to 25 percent, reaching the highest levels ever documented (Temin 1994). The extreme nature of the economic shock was a unique failure of the industrial economy that had devastating effects on individuals' financial and overall well-being (Temin 1994, Terkel 2012).

While the Depression has received enormous attention from economists, the vast majority of research has focused on examining the various macroeconomic aspects associated with the crisis (e.g., possible aggregate-level causes and consequences, macroeconomic dynamics, or fiscal and monetary policy implications).<sup>2</sup> Surprisingly few studies have directly evaluated the micro-level consequences of the shock on families and children who lived through the Depression—possibly because of a lack of micro data spanning the entire life course of affected cohorts. The few studies available have found mixed evidence on short- and long-term health outcomes (Granados, Tapia, and Diez-Roux 2009; Stuckler et al. 2012; Fishback et al. 2007; Cutler, Miller, and Norton 2007). Some have argued that any adverse effects were mitigated by public health investments introduced in the years preceding the Great Depression, such as the provision of clean water (Cutler and Miller 2005; Anderson, Charles, and Rees 2018; Costa 2015), or by post-Depression public investments from New Deal relief programs (Fishback, Haines, and Kantor 2007), or the expansion of social insurance and old age assistance (Balan-Cohen 2008). The evidence on economic outcomes is more consistent, pointing to significant declines in education and income (Thomasson

---

<sup>2</sup> See for example Bernanke (1983, 2000), Fisher (1933), Keynes (1936), Kehoe and Prescott (2007), Friedman and Schwartz (1963).

and Fishback 2014, Feigenbaum 2015); however, virtually no study has analyzed life cycle impacts on productivity and economic well-being and specially so, at older ages.

In this paper, we provide novel evidence on the long-term impacts of exposure to the Great Depression in early life on productivity, physical health, and mortality at older ages. Our focus on the first few years of the life course is motivated by a large body of research showing that prenatal and early childhood events can have life-long consequences on educational attainment, health, and wages (Almond and Currie 2011a,b, Almond et al. 2018, Barker 1992, Smith 2009). Uncovering a link between early life exposures and aging is important from both a theoretical and a policy perspective. From the perspective of the theory of skill formation (e.g., Cunha and Heckman 2007, Lleras-Muney and Moreau 2018), understanding the persistence of shocks at different stages of the life course can provide valuable insights into the shape of the production function, and the interaction between early life shocks and future investments. From a macro policy perspective, our results refine our understanding of the costs of business cycles, which is a key input for the design of stabilization policies (Lucas 2003, Krussell et al. 2009); from a micro policy perspective, they inform the extent to which retirement and healthcare systems have to adjust to the long-term scarring effects of economic shocks (Gruber and Wise 2002, French 2005). These realities are particularly pressing in light of sharp economic declines from the COVID-19 pandemic that threaten the financial security of businesses and families in the U.S. and abroad.

To examine the long-term impact of the Great Depression on the health and socioeconomic well-being of older adults, we used micro data from the Health and Retirement Study (HRS), a nationally representative study of individuals over the age of 50 in the U.S. We linked restricted geocoded data on state of birth in the HRS to historical state-level macroeconomic measures on wages, employment, and

consumption and employed a difference-in-differences strategy, which allowed us to compare the health and socioeconomic outcomes of individuals aged 50 plus who were born between 1929 and 1940 in different states across the U.S., and who were differentially exposed to the shock in their first years of life. In addition, to assess the effect of the shock on earnings trajectories, we utilized linked restricted administrative data on earnings from the Social Security Administration's Master Earnings File. To our knowledge this is the first study to document the impact of the Great Depression on lifetime earnings. Our empirical model includes temporal and geographic fixed effects, region of birth times year of birth fixed effects, and rich state-level controls interacted with state-specific linear time trends to isolate the effect of the economic shock from potential confounders.

Results indicate that cohorts exposed to the Depression in utero and up to age 6 experienced substantial declines in health and socioeconomic well-being at older ages. We measure exposure to the shock using average wages at the state and year level (our treatment of interest, discussed in more detail below). We find that half of the overall decline in wages between 1929 and 1933, which is equivalent to a one standard deviation (SD) contraction in state-level wages, leads to a 0.16 SD decline in long-term economic productivity, 0.25 SD increase in the incidence of metabolic syndrome, and a 0.19 SD increase in physical disability. We also see heterogeneous effects by sex and race. While women exposed to worse economic conditions at birth were more likely to experience deterioration in their health by age 60, men faced substantial reductions in economic productivity before retirement. Non-whites experienced much larger health impacts than whites and similar declines in economic outcomes. Our findings on accumulated earnings at ages 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, and 60 also reveal negative effects of the Great Depression on income during the prime earning years, suggesting that the effects of the shock are large and persistent over the life-course. Between ages 35 and 60, we find that a one-SD decline in the wage index is associated with a 2.4 percent decline in

annual earnings. Considering that real wages in the United States fell by almost 40 points between 1929 and 1933 (see Figure 1), these estimates imply substantial declines in lifetime income.

To analyze the effects of the Great Depression on mortality, we rely on multiple waves of the U.S. Census and the Vital Statistics Death Records. Following cohorts born in the 1930s, we find that worse economic conditions in early-life are positively associated with a higher probability of dying at earlier ages (Van den Berg, Lindeboom, and Portrait 2006) and with deaths related to diabetes, heart problems, and cardiovascular disease (Cutler, Huang, and Lleras-Muney 2019). Early-life economic conditions are also negatively associated with the male-to-female population ratio for these cohorts, which is consistent with the idea that males are more vulnerable to environmental factors in early-life than females and were therefore less likely to survive (Naeye et al. 1971; Waldron 1985; Pongou 2013). Based on this evidence, we conclude that our coefficients on productivity and health obtained in the HRS likely represent lower bound estimates of the true impacts of the economic shock on long-term outcomes.

Finally, we examine the extent to which potential sources of selection bias, namely endogenous fertility, or other co-occurring shocks throughout the 1930s, could be driving our results. Selective fertility may impact the interpretation of our findings if women (or men) of certain characteristics are more likely than others to change their fertility decisions in the presence of economic downturns (Dehejia and Lleras-Muney 2004). Historically, the U.S. fertility rate had been declining since the early twentieth century, and continued to fall during the Great Depression years, slowly recovering only after 1933 (Jones and Tertilt 2008; Bailey and Hershbein 2015; Fishback 2017; Schaller, Fishback, and Marquardt 2020; Albanesi and Olivetti 2016) (see Appendix Figure 3). Using historical Census data, we show that changes in economic conditions throughout the 1930s were differentially associated with changes in fertility. In particular, less educated women and women

living in urban areas were less likely to have a child during the 1930s once the Depression hit. If women with better resources were more likely to have a child during or after the crisis, this suggests that our results are potentially underestimated, since the children of these women are those more likely to be sampled in the HRS. Overall, our results do not seem to be driven by other co-occurring shocks or events during the 1930s, including the Dust Bowl (Arthi 2018; Hornbeck 2012) and the New Deal economic recovery (Fishback 2017). However, we do show that being born in areas that were affected by the Dust Bowl amplified the impact of the economic shock on health, suggesting interacting effects between the Great Depression and the environmental shock. Conversely, New Deal spending appeared to mitigate the adverse effects of the Great Depression.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly discusses the previous literature on the effects of economic conditions in childhood on long-term outcomes. Sections 3 and 4 present the data and the empirical strategy followed by the results in Section 6. Sections 7 and 8 examine robustness checks and selection concerns, respectively, and Section 8 concludes.

## **2. Related literature**

Theoretical and empirical work on the development of human capital emphasizes the importance of conditions in the first years of life in determining long-term outcomes (Almond and Currie 2011; Cunha and Heckman 2007; Heckman 2008; Lleras-Muney and Moreau 2018). Recent studies demonstrate that even mild shocks in utero and during the first five years of life have lasting consequences on individual outcomes that can extend to the next generation (see Almond, Currie, and Duque (2018) and Prinz et al. (2018) for recent reviews of this literature). Here, we discuss the extant literature on the effects of business cycles on health and socioeconomic well-being, as well as potential mechanisms through

which economic downturns may affect the long-term health and productivity of children born during recessions.

*Studies on the Great Depression and Human Capital at Older Ages*

Few papers have analyzed the effects of the Great Depression on human capital (likely due to data limitations) and the evidence is mixed. Among the studies using aggregate-level geographic data, some have found that the rise in unemployment during the 1930s was associated with substantial declines in mortality rates (Granados, Tapia, and Diez-Roux 2009), while others found no effect on mortality except for an increase in suicide rates (Stuckler et al. 2012), or a small decline in death rates due to New Deal spending (Fishback et al. 2007).<sup>3</sup> The findings on economic outcomes are more consistent, suggesting significant declines in income and education, and some increases in disability rates by age 40 (Thomasson and Fishback 2014; Feigenbaum 2015; Elder, Jr. 1974); however, little is known about whether these effects persisted decades later.

The closest study to our work is Cutler et al. (2007), which examined the long-term effects of early life exposure to the Great Depression and Dust Bowl on individual health outcomes using HRS micro data. The authors found no evidence that the shock affected long-term health outcomes. However, their empirical strategy relied on exploiting variation in economic conditions at the region and year of birth, which can mask the large heterogeneity in economic dynamics across states. In fact, when we estimate our models using the “treatment” defined at the region and year of birth (instead of the state-year of birth), we find small and statistically insignificant estimates that lead us to conclude that the Great Depression had no effect on people’s health. Using a more disaggregated economic

---

<sup>3</sup> Studies on the early life effects of economic crises in the late 19th and early 20th centuries have found mixed evidence on later-life health outcomes. While Banerjee et al. (2010) showed that individuals born in French regions affected by the phylloxera outbreak (which led to economic hardship) had shorter stature, Lindeboom et al. (2010) showed that changes in national GNP in the year of birth has little effects on functional limitations at older ages.



measure, represents an important contribution considering recent evidence on the role that state, county, and neighborhood factors play in explaining health and socioeconomic mobility (e.g., Chetty et al. 2011).<sup>4</sup>

*Studies on Recent Economic Recessions and Human Capital*

The finding that health improves during economic recessions has been documented using aggregate U.S. data (Ruhm 2000, 2003, 2005; Ruhm and Black 2002; Dehejia and Lleras-Muney 2004). These studies claim that health improves during economic downturns as people adopt better health behaviors (e.g., less smoking and drinking and better exercise habits). However, Huff-Stevens et al. (2011) show that cyclical changes in mortality are concentrated among younger and older groups who are not representative of changes in health behaviors among working-age adults. In fact, the authors demonstrate that cyclical changes are especially strong for deaths occurring in nursing homes, which is largely explained by fluctuations in the quality of nursing home care. Using individual-level administrative data, studies have shown adverse effects of unemployment on health outcomes (Sullivan and von Wachter 2009; Eliason and Storrie 2009a,b; Browning and Heinesen 2012). This research has also shown that exposure to job displacement, income loss, or economic recessions in early-life is linked to lower birth weight (Lindo 2011, Carlson 2015), higher infant mortality (Gutierrez 2014), worse child and adult mental health (Golberstein, Gonzales, and Meara 2016), worse personality traits (Akee et al. 2010), lower test scores (Stevens and Schaller 2011), lower educational attainment and income in young adults (Bratberg, Nilsen, and Vaage 2008; Coelli 2011; Hilger 2016; Løken 2010; Page, Huff-Stevens, and Lindo 2007; Rao 2016; Stuart 2018), higher welfare participation (Oreopolus, Page, and Huff-Stevens 2008), and housing instability (Duque et al. 2018).

---

<sup>4</sup> Unfortunately, county of birth is not currently available for HRS respondents, so we cannot exploit variation in labor markets at a more disaggregated level than the state of birth.

Despite this large body of evidence, the effects of the Great Depression are likely unique for at least two reasons. First, the Depression has been the largest and most profound economic crisis in the last century. Unemployment rates during the 2007 Great Recession, arguably the second worst recession since the Depression (excluding the current COVID recession), were half the magnitude of unemployment rates reported in 1933 (NBER, at <http://www.nber.org/cycles.html>). Second, there was little to no safety net for families with children to help buffer the effects of the shock. Bitler, Hoynes, and Kuka (2016), for instance, showed that U.S. safety net programs, including food stamps, TANF, and Medicaid, played a significant role in mitigating the adverse effects of the 2007 recession on child poverty, especially for the most vulnerable children.

*Potential Mechanisms: Economic Downturns and Human Capital Accumulation*

Economic downturns in early life can affect human capital accumulation at later stages of the life course through several mechanisms. First, recessions impact the financial stability of households by decreasing income and increasing debt, unemployment, and unstable work histories. Research has shown the importance of household income on child development (Duncan and Brooks-Gunn 1997; Almond and Currie 2011; Black and Devereux 2011). Aizer et al. (2016), for instance, showed that income can have persistent effects, even across generations.<sup>5</sup>

Second, unemployment can be a major source of stress that has direct and indirect impacts on pre- and postnatal child development. Maternal stress during pregnancy due to job loss, the fear of job loss, or declines in income can lead to increased levels of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), which increases the likelihood of preterm birth (Duncan, Mansour, and Rees 2015). Maturation of tissue

---

<sup>5</sup> Using data from the U.S. Mothers' Pension program, Aizer et al. (2016) which substantially improved the income of female recipients, the authors found that the program increased longevity and that their male children accumulated more years of schooling, were less likely to be underweight, and had higher income as adults than children of mothers in the control group.

is sped-up, while fetal growth is reduced (Hobel and Culhane, 2003). Prenatal maternal stress can also lead to excess cortisol passing through the placental wall, which may result in reprogramming and subsequent dysregulation of the fetal hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which controls the body's hormonal reactions to future stress (DiPietro and Voegtline, 2017). As a result, individuals subject to prenatal stress are more likely to develop hypertension later in life (Seckl and Holmes, 2007) or experience cognitive, behavioral, and emotional deficits, or even schizophrenia (Aizer, Buka, and Stroud 2016; Atella et al. 2020; Persson and Rossin-Slater 2018; Cotter and Pariante 2002; Van den Bergh et al., 2005). Maternal and paternal stress can also harm child developmental after birth (Thompson 2014). For example, in his longitudinal study on the children of the Great Depression, Elder (1974) found that the economic shock placed families and children under profound stress, which reduced fathers' ability to provide for their children, disrupted parental relationships, and altered the nature and quality of parenting, all of which ultimately deteriorated children's future outcomes.<sup>6</sup>

Research has also shown differential effects of prenatal stress in males and females. The female fetus appears to be more adaptive to harsh conditions in gestation and is therefore more likely to escape the consequences of maternal stress or early life adversity on fetal development (Bale and Epperson 2015). The male fetus, in contrast, is more susceptible to in utero stress, which increases the probability of miscarriage (Sandman et al., 2013). During prolonged economic downturns or other periods of national crisis, this may result in a demographic shift whereby only the fittest males in affected birth cohorts survive. Females adjust to early adversity with a variety of strategies, but their escape from the risk of preterm

---

<sup>6</sup> Several studies focusing on the “great depressions” for other countries, have found substantial declines in children's health and education (Smith et al. 2002; Strauss et al 2004; Thomas et al. 2004; Thomas and Frankenberg 2007).

mortality may result in increased vulnerability that is expressed later in development (Sandman et al. 2013).

Third, for children who are carried to term, changes in nutrition as a result of lower household resources could lead to an increase in the probability of low birth weight, metabolic syndrome in adulthood, disability, and/or declines in economic productivity (Almond and Mazumder 2013; Hoynes, Schanzenbach, and Almond 2016; Bailey et al. 2020). Prenatal exposure to poor nutrition may hamper fetal growth and damage fetal organs. Famine studies have shown that prenatal malnutrition can lead to a wide range of diseases – most of which progress at older ages, including coronary heart disease, type-2 diabetes, hypertension (Roseboom et al., 2011), and higher mortality rates (Lindeboom et al., 2010). Moreover, it can negatively affect the cognitive performance of offspring (De Rooij et al., 2010).<sup>7</sup> Malnutrition in childhood has also been linked to lower than average height-for-age and increased susceptibility to illness (Victora et al., 2010).

Fourth, access to affordable healthcare may decline during a recession. As the Great Depression worsened, the federal government cut spending on hospitals and on medical aid to the poor. At the same time, widespread unemployment forced thousands of people into severe poverty and many families could no longer afford to heat their homes. The absence of a broader social safety net at the time meant many people could not afford medical care, especially if they lived in rural areas. Lower income, nutrition, and limited access to health care can also increase exposure to disease, which has been shown to increase the incidence of diabetes among women in the U.S. (Almond, Currie, and Herrmann 2012) and frailty in older age (Alvarado et al. 2008; Gale et al. 2016; Van der Linden 2019).

Lastly, research has shown that one potential mechanism through which recessions affect outcomes is through geographic mobility (Boone and Wilse-

---

<sup>7</sup> See Lumey et al. (2011) for a review of the epidemiological literature on the effects of nutritional deprivation in utero on long-term outcomes.

Samson 2014; Arthi, Beach, and Hanlon 2019). For example, Feigenbaum (2015) demonstrated that the Great Depression prompted the sons of richer fathers to move to places less affected by the crisis.

While there may be a myriad of potential mechanisms that explain the relationship between state-level economic shocks and well-being across the life course, including household declines in income, nutritional deficits, stress, and limited or sub optimal healthcare access, these specific channels are inseparable as they all co-occurred at the same time. As a result, we are not interested in identifying these pathways separately, but rather investigating whether the joint impact of these deprivations shaped long-term health and economic well-being. Measuring the net impact of these factors is important for researchers and policy makers, not only because of the magnitude of people who are affected by economic recessions (Kehoe and Prescott 2007), but also because it has direct implications for later life health, productivity, and healthcare costs. In that sense, our study complements prior research on the long-term effects of economic conditions by showing that changes in aggregate economic conditions in early life are also strongly predictive of well-being at older ages.

### **3. Data and Variable Measures**

#### *Health and Retirement Study (HRS)*

The HRS is a nationally representative, longitudinal panel study of individuals 50 plus and their spouses. Launched in 1992, the study was designed to paint a detailed portrait of the labor force participation and health transitions individuals undergo towards the end of their work lives and into retirement; comprehensive information about participants' socioeconomic background, income, assets, and employment is collected from the time of respondent entry until death. The HRS introduces a new cohort of participants every six years and interviews around 20,000 participants every two years.

We link public use data from 12 waves of the HRS (1992-2014) on respondent health, education, earnings, wealth, labor force participation, and socioeconomic circumstances in childhood with restricted geographic information on respondent state of birth and lifetime earnings.<sup>8</sup> Our sample includes 7,352 individuals (61,850 person-year observations) born between 1929 and 1940.

#### *Micro Data from the U.S. Census and Vital Statistics Death Records*

Given its relatively small sample size and the fact that individuals are only observed after age 50, the HRS is not an ideal source to observe life course demographic and mortality patterns. Therefore, in addition to the HRS, we employed six consecutive waves of the decennial U.S. Census between 1940-1990 (Ruggles et al., 2019), and Vital Statistics Death Records in 1980, 1990 and 2000 (years with information on state of birth) obtained from the National Vital Statistics System. We used the Census to construct population level male-to-female sex ratios for affected birth cohorts and, together with Vital Statistics Death Records, age-specific mortality rates. Mortality rates were disaggregated by sex and race as well as into four leading causes of death: (i) infectious diseases, (ii) cancer, (iii) diseases of the heart, diabetes, and other cardiovascular diseases, and (vi) accidents.

#### *Outcome Variables*

Using the HRS, we focus on the health and economic outcomes of respondents and their spouses. To capture the multi-dimensional nature of human capital attainment and reduce the burden of multiple hypothesis testing, we follow Kling, Liebman, and Katz (2007) and Anderson (2008), and estimate summary standardized indices that aggregate information across three treatments. In

---

<sup>8</sup> Data on respondent health, education, and economic outcomes came from the RAND HRS Data (Version P, 2018)—an easy to use longitudinal data set based on the HRS data. It was developed at RAND with funding from the National Institute on Aging and the Social Security Administration.

particular, we constructed three indices:

- 1) *Human capital index*: includes information on educational attainment (i.e., whether or not the respondent received their GED/high school degree), whether or not the respondent is working (full-time or part-time), log of individual income, and whether or not a household is in the top fifty percent of the sample's wealth distribution (excluding wealth from a secondary residence).<sup>9</sup> We use this index as a proxy for economic productivity prior to retirement age.
- 2) *Metabolic syndrome index*: includes information on whether an individual has ever been diagnosed with diabetes, heart problems, stroke, or high blood pressure.
- 3) *Physical limitations index*: indicates whether an individual reports any difficulty with activities of daily living (ADL) (i.e., difficulties bathing, eating, dressing, walking across a room, or getting in or out of bed) or instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) (i.e., difficulties using a telephone, taking medication, handling money, shopping, or preparing meals). ADL and IADL measures have been widely used in the aging and gerontology literature to assess capabilities related to the maintenance of self and lifestyle, which often includes self-care, keeping one's life-space in order, and obtaining basic resources.

Summary indices were constructed by taking the average across standardized Z-score measures of each component. The human capital index was constructed for all survey years prior to the Social Security full retirement age for these cohorts, or between the ages of 50 and 65 only. The metabolic syndrome and physical limitations indices were constructed for all available survey years.

---

<sup>9</sup> All income and wealth measures were standardized to 2010 dollars.

*Lifetime Earnings.* To assess the impact of the Great Depression on earnings trajectories across the life course, we used restricted HRS-linked earnings data from the Social Security Administration’s Master Earnings File. Lifetime earnings were calculated as the sum of respondents’ 2010 CPI-adjusted earnings from Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) covered employment, non-covered employment, and OASDI-covered self-employment for all records available up to age 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, and 60. We include positive earnings only (i.e., individuals with zero earnings were not included in the analyses). To capture earnings above the Social Security Administration (SSA) taxable maximum that were top-coded prior to 1978 for all earnings, as well as earnings from self-employment that were top-coded between 1978 and 1994, we used an enhanced version of the HRS SSA-linked records that imputed earnings subject to top-coding at the OASDI or Medicare taxable maximums (Fang 2018). These files also include imputations for earnings above \$250,000 that were masked to protect respondent confidentiality.<sup>10</sup>

*Exposure Variables: State-level Measures of Economic Conditions*

Macroeconomic data that document the dynamics of the labor force during the 1930s at both the state and year level are rare, and decennial data from the Census are too far apart in time to convey much information about cyclical variations.<sup>11</sup> Even the Censuses of Manufacturers and Agriculture, which provide rich economic measures, are only collected every five years. In this study we use all measures that we are aware of that provide state-year level variation in economic conditions in the 1930s:

---

<sup>10</sup> A more detailed report of the imputation methodology is available on the HRS website: [https://hrs.isr.umich.edu/sites/default/files/restricted\\_data\\_docs/Imputed\\_Lifetime\\_Earnings.pdf](https://hrs.isr.umich.edu/sites/default/files/restricted_data_docs/Imputed_Lifetime_Earnings.pdf)

<sup>11</sup> For instance, while national unemployment statistics are available throughout the 1930s period, state-level unemployment rates are not. Moreover, many people had lost hope and stopped looking for work.



- 1) *Wage Index (1929-1945)*: farm and non-farm wages for each state from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).<sup>12</sup>
- 2) *Employment Index (1929-1940)*: employment in manufacturing and nonmanufacturing sectors (Wallis 1989).<sup>13</sup>
- 3) *Car Sales Index (1926-1940)*: total car sales for each state from the annual statistical issues of the industry trade publication, *Automotive Industries* (Hausman 2016).

For each of these measures, we constructed indices by dividing the variable by its 1929 level and multiplied by 100 so each measure has a value of 100 in 1929. The wage index was also transformed to real wages using the consumer price index (base year=2011). We linked all three “treatment” measures to the HRS at the year of birth and state of birth levels. For simplicity and completeness, we focus the discussion on the wage index –our preferred measure--, because it better approximates the economic conditions of families with young children in both urban and rural areas through 1945 (Garrett and Wheelock 2006). This allowed us to test the impact of wage fluctuations during the in utero period through age 6 for all respondents born in the 1930s. Data on employment and car sales are only available through 1940. As a result, we were limited in the number of years in early childhood that we could examine the impact of these indices. However, in the Robustness Checks section we discuss results using the employment and car sales indices as alternative measures of aggregate economic conditions.

Figure 2 shows the large variation in the wage index over time and across states. Illinois (which is depicted in green), experienced a 50 percent decline in wages from 1929 to 1933 and only recovered to its initial level after 1940. In

---

<sup>12</sup> Link: <https://apps.bea.gov/regional/histdata/#collapseSPI>.

<sup>13</sup> Wallis constructed these indices using firm-level data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wallis’ indices have been used in previous studies that have analyzed the macroeconomic impacts of the Great Depression (Borts 1960; Christiano et al. 2003; Creamer and Merwin 1942; Cutler et al. 2007; Rosenbloom and Sundstrom 1999).

contrast, Washington DC (red) “only” faced a 20 percent drop in wages by 1933 and a remarkable recovery that surpassed its 1929 level by 1935. State-level variation in the employment and car sale indices were similar to the fluctuations observed in the 1930s for the wage index (Appendix Figures 1 and 2).

#### *Calculating Exposure to Early Life Economic Conditions*

To identify the relevant life-cycle period of exposure to economic conditions, we build on previous literature in developmental psychology, epidemiology, and more recently in economics on sensitive periods for skill formation (Gluckman and Hanson, 2005; Heckman, 2008; Knudsen et al., 2006; Thompson and Nelson, 2001). We focused on the in utero period defined as the average economic conditions in the year prior to birth and in the year of birth for respondents’ state of birth; and the early childhood period, calculated by taking the average of economic conditions in the state of birth for the first six years of life, or between ages 1 and 6.

#### *Descriptive Statistics*

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for our estimation sample. Fifty-three percent of the sample is female, with an average age of 65.6 (mean birth year is 1936), and the vast majority is white (89 percent). More than 50 percent of the sample had a mother with less than a high school degree, and almost one-third of participants (58 percent of males) reported that they served in the military. In terms of individual outcomes, 81 percent of the sample completed at least a GED/HS degree, and at least 51 percent were working before retirement, or between the ages of 50 and 65. Average household wealth was \$541,684 in 2010 U.S. dollars. In terms of health outcomes, 18 percent of the sample was diagnosed with diabetes, 22 percent experienced heart problems, and 54 percent suffered from high blood pressure. A fifth of respondents reported having an ADL or IADL.

Men have a much higher human capital index than women, which is explained by their higher labor force participation, earnings, and wealth accumulation. The earnings and wealth gaps across men and women are unsurprising considering differences in sociocultural gender norms for labor force participation that were prevalent in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Goldin 2004). Women were also more likely to report lower maternal education levels, likely due to differences in selective mortality by sex—i.e., women were more likely to live longer than men, making the male sample more positively selected. This is also observed for health outcomes: women experienced more difficulty with ADLs, although they are less likely to have heart problems than men. We carefully examine selective mortality in the Results section.

Differences by race reveal that nonwhites born between 1929 and 1940 (i.e., individuals of Black, Asian, American Indian, Alaskan Native, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander decent) have worse health outcomes, educational outcomes, earnings, and household wealth than whites. Significant differences in social and economic trajectories for whites and nonwhites before 1940 have been well documented (Bayer and Charles 2018; Boustan 2010; Margo 1995; Maloney 1994; Black et al. 2015). Of note, individuals from these racial groups were 12 percentage points more likely to be diagnosed with diabetes and 17 percentage points more likely to be diagnosed with hypertension. Unfortunately, due to the small overall sample size of nonwhites (1,474 person observations), and the fact that HRS masks racial categories other than white and Black to respect respondent confidentiality, we combined respondents from these diverse racial groups into one category and are unable to examine outcomes by race in more detail.

#### **4. Empirical Model**

To estimate the link between exposure to the Great Depression and individuals' outcomes after age 50, we leverage the temporal and geographic

variation in economic conditions at the state-year level from 1929 to 1940, using the following difference-in-differences specification:

$$Y_{isrtc} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Wages_{sc\_before\ conception} + \beta_2 Wages_{sc\_in-utero} + \beta_3 Wages_{sc\_early\ childhood} + \mathbf{X}_{isrc}'\beta + Z_{s1930}*c + \theta_s + \eta_c + \lambda_t + u_{(s1930\times c)} + \gamma_{r\times c} + \varepsilon_{isrct} \quad (1)$$

where  $Y_{isrtc}$  is the outcome of individual  $i$ , born in state  $s$ , in region  $r$ , in year  $c$ , and observed in the HRS at year  $t$ .  $Wages$  represents the aggregate wage index at the state and year levels obtained from the BEA for the period before conception, in utero, and in early childhood; the matrix  $\mathbf{X}_{isrc}$  contains individual characteristics including sex, age, age squared, veteran status, indicators for maternal education (i.e., less than high school education, high school or more than high school, or whether mothers' education was unknown), whether the father was present in the home, and whether the household lived in a rural/urban area.  $Z_{s1930} * c$  is a vector of state-level characteristics around 1930 (i.e., the maternal<sup>14</sup> and infant mortality rate in 1928<sup>15</sup>, and the population in 1930) interacted with year of birth time trends.  $u_{(s1930\times c)}$  represents a state's share of wage earners in manufacturing in 1930 (or earlier whenever possible)<sup>16</sup> and the percent of farmland in 1930<sup>17</sup> interacted with year of birth fixed effects. The terms  $\theta_s$  and  $\eta_c$  are state and year of birth fixed effects, respectively. The geographic fixed effects help absorb time-invariant differences at the state level, while the time fixed effects absorb factors that vary

---

<sup>14</sup> Albanesi and Olivetti (2016) show that “starting in the 1930s, there was a dramatic improvement in maternal health. Maternal mortality declined from 673 deaths per 100,000 live births in 1930 to 37.1 deaths per 100,000 live births in 1960, accompanied by a corresponding decline in the burden of pregnancy-related conditions” (p. 651).

<sup>15</sup> Calculated as the number of infant deaths per state per year divided by the number of births by state and year multiplied by 1,000. Information on infant deaths and births by state-year were obtained from the NBER data website: <https://www.nber.org/data/im/>

<sup>16</sup> This is calculated as the number of wage earners in manufacturing in 1929 (obtained from the 1929 Census of Manufacturers) divided by the working population (“gainfully occupied persons”) aged 10 years and older (obtained from the 1930 Census).

<sup>17</sup> Obtained from the 1930 Census of Agriculture.

over time but are invariant across states. For example,  $\theta_s$  helps account for consistent differences in the poverty level or economic specialization across states.  $\lambda_t$  captures HRS survey year fixed effects. In some specifications we also include region of birth times year of birth fixed effects, or the term  $\gamma_{r \times c}$ , to control for region-specific shocks that happened in each birth year throughout the 1930s (Rosenbloom and Sundstrom 1999).<sup>18</sup> Lastly, standard errors were clustered at the state of birth level and at the individual level following Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller (2011) to account for within-state-person serial correlation in the observations. All models were estimated using the pooled data and weighted by HRS sample weights to adjust for sample composition. Our coefficient of interest is  $\beta_1$ , which is interpreted as the effect of a one-point increase in the wage index on individual outcomes after age 50.

#### *Sorting by Observable Characteristics*

The main identifying assumption required to consistently estimate the effect of the Great Depression on our outcomes of interest is independence between the error term and the shock after controlling for geographic and temporal fixed effects. While we cannot directly test for all potential omitted variables, we assess the degree to which variation in the annual state-level wage index in the year of birth is correlated with family characteristics. Results in Table 2 show that changes in the wage index are not associated with changes in individual or family characteristics at baseline, including individual's age, sex, race, mother's education, father's presence in the household, or rural status, all which imply little evidence of endogenous sorting. In Section 8 we also analyze the extent to which endogenous fertility could be driving our results.

---

<sup>18</sup> We include nine regions: New England, Middle Atlantic, East North Central, West North Central, South Atlantic, East South Central, West South Central, Mountain, and Pacific.

## 5. Results

### *Early-life Exposure to Economic Shocks and Late-life Outcomes*

Table 3 presents estimates of exposure to the wage index from age -3 (or 2 years before conception) to age 6 on the human capital index for individuals aged 50 to 65 and on metabolic syndrome and physical limitations for individuals aged 50 plus. Column 1 includes year and state of birth fixed effects and HRS survey year fixed effects, Column 2 adds individual and family controls (measured at baseline) to the regression, Column 3 includes region of birth\*year of birth FE, Column 4 adds the state-level controls interacted with linear time trends, and Column 5, our preferred specification, includes the share of manufacturing in 1930\*year of birth FE.

Research suggests that early exposure to adverse shocks is worse for human capital than later-life exposures (Heckman 2008; Almond and Currie 2011), however, the literature does not offer sharp definitions for when these early stages end, except for the specific case of the in utero period. As we go from Column 1 to Column 5 in Panel A, we find that the coefficient on the wage index both in utero and in childhood increases in magnitude and becomes more statistically significant. The magnitude of the coefficient in Column 5 suggests that a one-SD increase in the wage index in utero (equivalent to a 20-unit change or about half of the overall decline in wages during the Great Depression), improves the human capital index by 0.16 SD ( $P < 0.05$ ). An equivalent change in wages in early childhood would imply a further change of 0.07 SD. Results on the metabolic syndrome and the physical limitations index shown in Column 5 and Panels B and C, reveal that changes in wages prior to birth are associated with a decline in these outcomes of 0.25 SD ( $P < 0.001$ ) and 0.19 SD ( $P < 0.05$ ), respectively.

We see little evidence of differential trends prior to conception in Table 3, which is given by the null effect of the wage index two and three years prior to birth, providing support for the identification strategy.

In Appendix Table 1 we break down the effects of the wage index on the individual components of the three indices. Panel A shows that changes in the human capital index are explained by increases in education, earnings, and wealth, and while we do not find a significant effect on working status, we do find that the coefficient on wages in childhood is positive and large. In supplemental analyses, we estimated the impact of wages in childhood on age at full retirement for the subsample of workers in our analytic sample (Appendix Table 2). Findings indicate that a one SD increase in the wage index in utero increased the number of years a worker stayed attached to the labor force by 1.22 years (1.92 years for men), providing evidence that economic shocks in critical stages of child development can have direct effects on late-life productivity.

Panels B and C show the components of the metabolic syndrome and physical limitations indices, respectively. Since all the components are “bads”, a negative coefficient indicates an improvement in long-run health. We find that increases in wages around birth are significantly associated with a lower incidence of diabetes, heart problems, and high blood pressure. Lastly, both ADLs and IADLs (the two components of the physical limitations index) are significantly associated with changes in economic conditions (an effect of 0.56 SD and 0.60 SD, respectively).

#### *Heterogeneous Effects: Differences by Sex and Race*

Results in Table 4 present effects by sex and race. Columns 1 and 2 contain results for men and women, respectively, while Columns 3 and 4 contain estimates for whites and non-whites. Following the structure used in previous tables, Panel A shows results for human capital. We find that higher wages in the year before birth significantly improved economic outcomes for men in the long-run (a 1 SD increase during the in utero period increased human capital outcomes by 0.34 SD) while for women, the effect is concentrated in early-childhood (a 1 SD increase in wages

between ages 1-6 increased the human capital index by 0.13 SD). However, although the effect of state-level wages in early childhood for men is not statistically significant, the coefficient is not statistically different from the coefficient for women.<sup>19</sup>

Results for health outcomes are presented in Panels B and C. Women are more likely than men to experience declines in metabolic syndrome and physical limitations as a result of better economic conditions in utero. A 1-SD increase in the wage index during the prenatal period leads to a 0.31 SD and 0.32 SD decline in these two outcomes, respectively. Although the point estimates for men are also negative, suggesting improvements in long-run health, the magnitude of the coefficient is one half to one tenth of the coefficient for women. Why are the effects on health more concentrated on women than on men? One possible reason is that “weaker” men are more likely to die younger, and because of this mortality selection, our estimates for men are biased downward. We examine the role of selective mortality in Section 8.

Results for whites and nonwhites are reported in Columns 3 and 4 of Table 4. Of note, the sample of nonwhites in the HRS born in the 1930s is much smaller than the sample of whites (ratio of whites to nonwhites is 4:1). Black men and women (the largest group in the nonwhite sample) also had lower life-expectancy than whites. Given this, the sample of nonwhites in the HRS is likely a highly positively selected sample. Our results show that nonwhites experienced changes in human capital similar in magnitude to those of whites (although the point estimate for nonwhites is noisy, likely due to the small sample), but larger changes in metabolic syndrome and physical limitations. For instance, a 1-SD increase in the wage index during the prenatal period leads to a 0.52 SD decrease in metabolic

---

<sup>19</sup> For instance, the point estimate of salaries in early childhood for men is 0.0016, S.E. 0.0017, and for women it is 0.0058, S.E. 0.0025.



syndrome (vs. a 0.26 SD decline for whites) and a 0.71 SD decline in physical limitations (vs. a statistically insignificant 0.13 SD decline for whites).

Across all groups, the sizable effects that we find can be explained partly by the fact that 1) the shock of the Great Depression was massive and everyone, no matter what group they belonged to, was to some extent impacted; and 2) families were unable to fully buffer the effects of the Depression due to a lack of a universal social safety net.

### *Early-life Exposure to Economic Shocks and Accumulated Earnings*

We now analyze whether the effects of the Great Depression on human capital and labor market productivity at older ages were also present earlier in the life course. Since the HRS is a representative sample of older adults in the U.S., information on individuals is surveyed after age 50, which limits the degree to which we can examine the impact of the Great Depression on outcomes in mid-life. As a result, we used linked earnings data from SSA tax records to reconstruct the impact of the Great Depression on the age earnings profiles of HRS participants during their prime earning years.

Figure 3 shows the effects of the wage index in utero and in early childhood on accumulated earnings at ages 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, and 60. Overall, we find that 1) the effects of early life economic shocks tend to become slightly more pronounced as people age, and 2) the effects of in utero exposure are larger than the effects in early childhood. Appendix Table 3 presents the regression results displayed in Figure 3; we find that a 1-SD increase in the wage index in utero is associated with a 2.4 to 2.8 percent increase in accumulated lifetime earnings.<sup>20</sup>

---

<sup>20</sup> We should note that not all respondents in the HRS provided consent for SSA record linkage therefore the sample of individuals with information on accumulated earnings at different ages is slightly smaller than the full analytic sample.

Using the Census of 1970, Thomasson and Fishback (2014) found that a one percent decrease in state income per capita in the year of birth lead to a 0.24% decrease in income by age 40 among men born in the 1930s (the authors do not consider women in their analyses). Our results are very close to the authors' estimates. In fact, when we consider what would be the change in earnings given the same percentage change in the shock, we find a 0.12 percent decline for men and women combined, and for men only we find a 0.22 percent decline.

#### *Early-life Exposure to Economic Shocks and Mortality*

While research has shown that economic conditions in early life can affect individual mortality (Van den Berg et al. 2006, Cutler et al. 2019), studies on the effects of the Great Depression on mortality rates have found mixed evidence (Granados et al. 2009, Stuckler et al. 2012, Fishback et al. 2007, Cutler et al. 2007). We re-examine these potential impacts by focusing on changes in the sex ratio and in age-adjusted mortality rates (as well as the cause of mortality) for those born in the 1930s.

Table 5 shows that increases in the wage index around the year of birth are associated with significant increases in the male-to-female sex ratio for the population of children and adults observed across the 1940-1990 Censuses. If the male fetus is more sensitive to environmental stimuli than the female fetus, and males on average have shorter lifespans than females (Waldron 1983), these results could indicate that there were fewer male births as a result of the Great Depression and that those that were born were less likely to survive over time.

Table 6 shows results for age-adjusted mortality rates. We find that a 1 SD increase in wages during the in utero period leads to a significant 5.4 percent decline in mortality in the full sample, and a 7.5 and 4.2 percent decline for males and females, respectively. We do not find evidence that postnatal exposure to changes in aggregate wages is associated with changes in mortality, a finding that is

consistent with previous studies on the link between economic conditions in early life and mortality in other countries (Van den Berg, Lindeboom, and Portrait 2006). Regarding the cause of death, Table 7 shows that the declines in mortality were primarily driven by deaths related to diabetes, heart problems, and cardiovascular disease (a fall of 10.2 percent), all of which have been linked to intrauterine growth disruptions (Barker 1992). These findings are further supported by the fact that we do not find a connection between wages and other causes of death that are not associated with fetal conditions, including deaths from infectious disease, cancer, or traffic accidents.

Based on the evidence in this section, we conclude the following: 1) The health effects estimated in the HRS likely represent lower bound estimates of the true impact, and 2) because mortality effects were more pronounced among men, the greater health impacts we observe for women may be due to more disadvantaged women from these birth cohorts surviving until older ages when we can observe them in the HRS.

## **6. Additional Results**

### *Other Measures of Economic Fluctuations: Employment Indices and Car Sales*

In this section we examine the robustness of our results that use the wage index as our measure of the exposure by comparing them to results that use other measures of economic fluctuations from labor markets and aggregate consumption as our exposure variable. To measure how changes in the labor market or changes in aggregate consumption throughout the 1930s affected long-run health and productivity, we use data collected by Wallis (1989) on changes in employment in both manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries and data on car sales by state and year. Car sales are a good macro indicator for three reasons: 1) state laws mandate the registration of new cars, which means the data are relatively accurate with little measurement error, 2) they capture household consumption, and 3) they

are the only macro-level variable that was consistently collected on an annual basis at the state level before 1929, starting in 1926.<sup>21</sup> Appendix Figures 1 and 2 show the movement in the Wallis employment index (1929-1940) and the car sale index (1926-1940) indexed to the year 1929.

Results obtained using with these exposures are shown in Appendix Table 4, which suggest that changes in both employment and consumption during the in utero and childhood periods are strong predictors of future productivity, metabolic syndrome, and physical limitations.<sup>22</sup> For instance, children exposed to higher state-level employment are more likely to have better human capital outcomes, cardiovascular health, and are less likely to suffer from physical mobility limitations at older ages. The timing of the effects is also consistent with estimates that use the wage index, confirming that our results are not driven by the use of the wage index but rather reflect an overall pattern.

#### *The Role of the Dust Bowl Ecological Catastrophe*

We now examine whether other co-occurring shocks during the 1930s are potentially driving our results. We first start with the 1930s American Dust Bowl, an environmental catastrophe characterized by droughts, soil erosion, and severe dust storms that eroded sections of the Great Plains (Worster 1979; Hansen and Libecap 2004; Hornbeck 2012). While droughts are relatively common in the Plains and repeat every 22 years on average, the Dust Bowl was particularly extreme due to the overuse and over ploughing of the land that significantly eroded and damaged soil conditions, which left the Plains more vulnerable to the drought cycle. In prior work, Arthi (2018) showed that cohorts exposed to this shock in childhood

---

<sup>21</sup> Both the salary and employment indices series start in 1929.

<sup>22</sup> We also find heterogeneous impacts across demographic groups that are qualitatively consistent to those shown in Table 4. Results are not shown but available upon request.

experienced significant declines in educational attainment and economic well-being decades later.

To assess whether our estimates could be confounded with Dust Bowl exposures, we re-estimate our main specification excluding the sample of individuals born in the Dust Bowl states as well as their neighboring states.<sup>23</sup> Results in Appendix Table 5 show that while estimates become less precise due to a reduction in the sample size, the coefficients on human capital and metabolic syndrome remain relatively similar to those found in the full sample. For the case of physical limitations index, we find little evidence that exposure to higher wages prior to birth influenced the outcome; however, the magnitude of the coefficient in early childhood becomes much larger when we exclude the sample affected by the Dust Bowl.

Consistent with Arthi (2018), our results in Columns 3, 6, and 9 for individuals in Dust Bowl and neighboring states show that the worst effects are found amongst those exposed to both the Dust Bowl and the Great Depression. This suggests that congenital complications from nutritional deprivation, together with low parental incomes in utero and in childhood, may have had an interacting effect that resulted in significant later life disadvantage.<sup>24</sup>

### *The New Deal*

The Great Depression led to dramatic changes in social spending in the U.S. In 1933, the burden of providing relief to the poor and the unemployed fell mostly on states, local governments, and private charitable organizations. It was not until Roosevelt's New Deal that a welfare state was introduced. The federal government

---

<sup>23</sup> We exclude individuals born in New Mexico, Colorado, Oklahoma, Texas, Kansas, Arkansas, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming.

<sup>24</sup> For evidence on the interactions between early-life shocks see Duque, Rosales, and Sanchez (2020).

started pouring funds into the provision of emergency work relief and direct relief programs. Spending was more concentrated in areas with higher unemployment and where the economic downturn from 1929 to 1933 was more pronounced (Fishback 2017). Programs not only had the potential to raise incomes, but also had impacts on migration, mortality rates, employment, crime rates, housing values, home ownership rates, and productivity (Fishback 2017).<sup>25</sup>

We measured New Deal spending using data compiled by Fishback, Kantor, and Wallis (2003). The authors categorized spending into three main types of programs: 1) relief spending, including Federal Emergency Relief Administration grants, Civil Works Administration grants, Works Progress Administration grants, and Public Assistance Grants via the Social Security Act; 2) public works spending via Public Works Administration grants and loans, as well as grants from the Public Roads Administration and the Public Buildings Administration; and 3) housing market assistance with loans or the insurance of mortgages. This spending included loans from the reconstruction Finance Corporation, from the Homeowners Loan Corporation, and grants and loans from the US Housing Administration. We combine all spending into one measure and divide it by the state population in that particular year.

Appendix Table 6 shows the effects of New Deal Spending and its interaction with the economic shock. Although estimates are generally imprecise and not statistically significant, the Table shows some evidence that higher spending is associated with better long-run outcomes and that if anything, its interaction with the wage index reinforces this relationship. While suggestive, this

---

<sup>25</sup> The effects and extent to which the different programs met their goals varied. For instance, public works and relief spending increased incomes and consumption, attracted internal migration, reduced crime rates, and lowered several types of mortality but had little positive impact on private employment (Fishback, Horrace, and Kantor 2005; Fishback 2017).. The inflexibility of those wages prevented companies from hiring additional workers and contributed to an environment of policy uncertainty, resulting in firms cutting or postponing their investment projects.

evidence indicates that New Deal spending may have ameliorated some of the negative impacts of the Great Depression.

### *World War II*

Lastly, we examined whether our estimates are driven by the impact of World War II (WWII). The war not only represented a large-scale induction of men into the armed forces (many of which had young children) but also drew many women into the labor force (Goldin 1991, Goldin and Olivetti 2013). To do so, we used data on average mobilization rates compiled by Acemoglu, Autor, and Lyle (2004) and interacted mobilization rates with our treatment of interest (wage index). Results in Appendix Table 7 show estimates that are very similar to those presented in Column 5 in Table 3 (our main results), which suggests that our estimates are not substantively affected by WWII.<sup>26</sup>

## **7. Potential Mechanisms**

### *Household Resources: Mother's Education and Childhood Nutrition*

Research has shown that family resources can buffer the effects of adverse shocks on children's outcomes. In Appendix Table 8 we stratify estimates by mother's education (i.e., whether the respondent's mother had less than a high school degree or information on mother's education was missing versus higher levels of education). We find that on average the effect of the wage index tends to be greater for respondents with less educated mothers; however, we cannot claim that the coefficients are statistically different across samples.

As another potential resource, we examine access to food and nutrition. To explore this channel, we used estimates of the wage index on the height respondents' report in the HRS, since height has been linked to childhood nutrition,

---

<sup>26</sup> For ease of clarity and interpretation, we used a slightly different specification in these models that aggregate the in utero and early childhood exposures into just one "early-life" exposure.

as well as adult cognitive ability and labor market outcomes (Case and Paxson 2008). Appendix Table 9 shows that an increase in the wage index is negatively (but not significantly) associated with height. Differences by sex indicate that the negative relationship between wages and height are driven by females (a 1.2 percent decline in height, which is equivalent to 2 cm) with little evidence of changes in males. The counterintuitive results for females are consistent with our previous findings on mortality, which indicated that better economic conditions in early life led to greater mortality selection among males than females such that only healthier males survived to older ages, while potentially more fragile (i.e., shorter) females survived to older ages. Either way, we cannot conclude from HRS data—at least from an empirical perspective-- that nutrition was a potential channel.

### *Migration*

Overall migration rates during the Depression era were low compared to the previous decade of the Great Migration (Boustan, Fishback, and Kantor 2010, Gutmann et al. 2016); however, the option to move served as informal insurance (Boone and Wilse-Samson 2014).

In the HRS, 22% of our analytic sample reported moving between birth and their primary schooling years. We examine whether families of certain characteristics were more likely to move to a different state as a response to the shock. Appendix Table 10 shows that this is not the case. While an increase in wages in a child's first years of life is associated with a higher probability of migration, there is little evidence to suggest that there was differential migration across different types of families--i.e., by child's sex, race, mother's education, or father's presence--as evidenced by the fact that none of the interaction terms with these respondent characteristics are statistically significant and the magnitudes of the coefficients are small. Thus, we conclude that at least for families with young



children, there seems to be little evidence of selective migration as a mechanism to buffer the economic shock.

## **8. Fertility during the Great Depression**

In this section, we analyzed the extent to which changes in economic conditions throughout the 1930s were associated with changes in women's fertility decisions, which could potentially bias our estimates of the shock on the outcome (Almond 2006; Bozzoli et al. 2009).

Economic theory predicts that business cycles can affect fertility through changes in income, the opportunity cost of time, or information (Currie and Schwandt 2014; Sobotka, Skirbekk, and Philipov 2011). The evidence on the relationship between the Great Depression and fertility shows that, in the context of an overall decline in total fertility that began since the 1920s (see Appendix Figure 3), fertility rates fell even more from 1929 to 1933 and slowly recovered during the New Deal (Fishback et al. 2007).

Relevant to our study is whether these patterns differed across groups of women.<sup>27</sup> For example, if the Great Depression differentially affected women's fertility decisions across observable characteristics such that highly educated women were more likely to have fewer children than their counterparts, this may result in a biased estimate of the shock on future outcomes since the children of disadvantaged mothers were more likely to grow up to be disadvantaged themselves (Almond and Currie, 2011; Almond, Currie, and Duque 2018), even in the absence of the Great Depression.

Using data from the 1940 Census, we examined the association between changes in the wage index and a mother's total fertility after a (focal) child (i.e., the number of children she has after her first child born in the 1930s) and the

---

<sup>27</sup> The U.S. fertility rate had been falling below trend since the early 1920s (Jones and Tertilt 2008; Bailey and Hershbein 2015; Albanesi and Olivetti 2016).

association between the shock and the timing of fertility (i.e., the time between two subsequent births). Results in Appendix Table 11 show evidence of differential fertility responses across mothers' characteristics. While Column 1 suggests that an increase in average wages in early childhood was positively associated with women having an additional child, Column 2 shows that those more likely to do so are married women, women with low levels of education, women in their 30s, and women living in rural areas. Column 4 further shows that younger women were more likely to delay the timing between births as wages increased.

In sum, our results suggest that as the Great Depression hit and wages declined, women were less likely to have a child, and this was more likely to be the case for married and less educated women. Considering that 96 percent of women who had a child in the 1930s were married, our results imply that children born throughout the decade were more likely to be born to highly educated mothers. As a result, changes in fertility due to the Great Depression are more likely to bias our estimates downward.

## **9. Conclusion**

The Great Depression was an extraordinary event in the economic history of the United States (see Figure 1). From 1929 to 1933, real output contracted by more than 25 percent and the unemployment rate increased from 3.2 percent to 25 percent, reaching the highest levels ever documented. Previous literature, however, found little evidence that the Great Depression affected old-age disability and chronic-disease rates (Cutler, Miller, and Norton 2007). Even studies focusing on more recent recessions have found positive effects of economic downturns on health outcomes, attributing these effects to improvements in health behaviors, selection into pregnancy, and counter-cyclical fluctuations in the quality of care, particularly in nursing homes (Ruhm 2000; Fishback, Haines, and Kantor 2007; Dehejia and Lleras-Muney 2004; Stevens et al. 2011). One potential explanation

for the absence of a Great Depression effect suggested in previous studies is that the public health investments that had become available in the preceding years such as the provision of clean water or pasteurization of milk (Cutler and Miller 2005; Anderson, Charles, and Rees 2018; Fishback et al. 2011), protected people against disease, while incomes were adequate to secure sufficient nutritional intake and shelter (Costa 2015). Recession effects may also have been different prior to the sanitary revolution. In addition, some scholars argue that the effects of the Great Depression could have been mitigated by relief programs (Fishback, Haines, and Kantor 2007) and by Old Age Assistance (Balan-Cohen 2008).

Results from this study indicate that the economic shock from the Great Depression had adverse and long-term impacts on the physical health and life course economic productivity of individuals born during the 1930s, and that these changes likely represent lower bound estimates of the true effects due to mortality (and fertility) selection. Our estimates imply that a 1 SD decrease in employment reduced productivity before age 65 by 0.16 SD and increased the incidence of metabolic syndrome and physical disability by 0.25 SD, and 0.19 SD, respectively. The large effect sizes may in part reflect both the severity of the contraction and the lack of a universal social safety net at the time to protect families with young children.

This study contributes to the literature on the effects of macroeconomic shocks on individual outcomes. Despite the vast knowledge on the fiscal and monetary causes and consequences of the Great Depression, little is known about the micro-level effects, which is highly informative for assessing the costs of business cycles. Our focus on older adult health and economic outcomes is also relevant given that many developed countries around the world are experiencing population aging. A better understanding of the complex interplay between social environments and initial endowments in shaping human capital and health at later stages in the life course may be informative for policies aimed at raising long-term

productivity and reducing healthcare costs at older ages (Gruber and Wise 2002; Costa 1998).

Finally, our results may be relevant for evaluating the effects of economic recessions in developing countries, many of which have recently experienced “great depressions” (e.g., Argentina in 2002, Zimbabwe in 2003, or Greece in 2011), and for the recent economic downturn in the U.S. due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which has led to the worst recession since the Great Depression. Our assessment of the long-term consequences of the Great Depression suggests that these recent economic shocks may have substantial costs on the health and productivity of children who are were born into or living through these crises.

## **References**

- Acemoglu, D., Autor, D. H., and Lyle, D. (2004). Women, war, and wages: The effect of female labor supply on the wage structure at midcentury. *Journal of political Economy*, 112(3), 497-551.
- Aizer, A., Eli, S., Ferrie, J., and Lleras-Muney, A. (2016). The Long-Run Impact of Cash Transfers to Poor Families. *American Economic Review*, 106 (4): 935–71.
- Aizer, A., L. Stroud, and S. Buka (2016). Maternal stress and child outcomes: Evidence from siblings. *Journal of Human Resources* 51(3), 523–555.
- Akee, R., Simeonova, E., Costello, E.J., Copeland, W., and Angold, A. (2015) How Does Household Income Affect Child Personality Traits and Behaviors? NBER Working paper, 21562.
- Albanesi, S. and Olivetti, C. (2016). Gender Roles and Medical Progress. *Journal of Political Economy*, 124(3): 650–695.
- Almond, D. (2006). Is the 1918 Influenza Pandemic Over? Long-Term Effects of in Utero Influenza Exposure in the Post-1940 U.S. Population. *Journal of Political Economy*, 114(4): 672–712.
- Almond, D. and Currie, J. (2011a). Killing Me Softly: The Fetal Origins Hypothesis. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 25(3): 153-172.
- Almond, D. and Currie, J. (2011b). Human Capital Before Age 5. In the *Handbook of Labor Economics*, edited by Ashenfelter, O. and Card, D. Vol. 4 (Chapter 15): 1315-1486.
- Almond, D., Currie, J., and Duque, V. (2018). Childhood Circumstances and Adult Outcomes: Act II. Forthcoming at the *Journal of Economic Literature*, 56(4): 1360-1446.
- Almond, D., Currie, J., and Herrmann, M. (2012). From infant to mother: Early disease environment and future maternal health. *Labour Economics*, 19(4), 475-483.
- Almond, D. and Mazumder, B. (2011). Health Capital and the Prenatal Environment: The Effect of Ramadan Observance During Pregnancy. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 3: 56–85.

Alvarado, B. E., Zunzunegui, M. V., Béland, F. and Bamvita, J. M. (2008). Life course social and health conditions linked to frailty in Latin American older men and women. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 63, 1399–1406. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/63.12.1399>

Anderson, M.L. (2008). Multiple Inference and Gender Differences in the Effects of Early Intervention: A Reevaluation of the Abecedarian, Perry Preschool, and Early Training Projects. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 103(484): 1481–95.

Anderson, D.M., Charles, K.K., and Rees, D.I. (2018). Public Health Efforts and the Decline in Urban Mortality. *NBER*, wp# 25027.

Arthi, V. (2018). The Dust Was Long in Settling: Human Capital and the Lasting Impact of the American Dust Bowl. *Journal of Economic History*, 78(1): 196-230.

Arthi, V., Beach, B, and Hanlon, W. W. (2019). Recessions, Mortality, and Migration Bias: Evidence from the Lancashire Cotton Famine. Link: [https://trialav2.files.wordpress.com/2019/02/abh\\_recessions\\_linked\\_microdata\\_2\\_2019.pdf](https://trialav2.files.wordpress.com/2019/02/abh_recessions_linked_microdata_2_2019.pdf)

Atella, V., Di Porto, E., Kopinska, J., and Lindeboom, M. (2020). Maternal Stress and Offspring Lifelong Labor Market Outcomes. *Working paper*.

Bailey, M. and Collins, W.J. (2006). The Wage Gains of African-American Women in the 1940s. *The Journal of Economic History*, 66(03): 737-777.

Bayer, P. and Charles, K.K. (2018). Divergent Paths: A New Perspective on Earnings Differences Between Black and White Men Since 1940. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 133(3): 1459-1501.

Balan-Cohen, A. (2008). The Effect on Elderly Mortality: Evidence from the Old Age Assistance Programs in the United States. *Working paper*.

Banerjee, A., Duflo, E., Postel-Vinay, G., & Watts, T. (2010). Long-run health impacts of income shocks: Wine and phylloxera in nineteenth-century France. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 92(4), 714-728.

Barker, D.J.P. (1992). Fetal and Infant Origins of Adult Disease. *British Medical Journal*, London.

- Bernanke, B. (1983). Nonmonetary Effects of the Financial Crisis in the Propagation of the Great Depression. *American Economic Review*, 73(3): 257-276.
- Bernanke, B. (2000). *Essays on the Great Depression*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Black, S. E. and Devereux, P. J. (2011). Recent Developments in Intergenerational Mobility. *Handbook of Labor Economics*, 4: 1487–1541.
- Black, D. A., Sanders, S.G., Taylor, E.J., and Taylor, L.J. (2015). The Impact of the Great Migration on Mortality of African Americans: Evidence from the Deep South. *American Economic Review*, 105(2): 477-503.
- Bailey, M.J. and Hershbein, B.J. (2015). U.S. Fertility rates and childbearing, 1800 to 2010. Chapter in the *Oxford Handbook of American Economic History*.
- Bailey, M.J., Hoynes, H., Rossin-Slater, M., and Walker, R. (2020). Is the social safety net a long-term investment? Large-scale evidence from the food stamps program. NBER working paper, 26942.
- Bale, T.L. and Epperson, C. N. (2015). Sex differences and stress across the lifespan. *Nature Neuroscience*, 18: 1413–1420.
- Bitler M., Hoynes, H. and Kuka, E. (2016). Child poverty the Great Recession and the social safety net in the United States. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 36(2): 358-389.
- Boone, C. D. and Wilse-Samson, L. (2014). Modernization, Rural Migration, and Market Withdrawal: Evidence from the Great Depression. [http://www.columbia.edu/~cdb2129/Boone\\_jmp.pdf](http://www.columbia.edu/~cdb2129/Boone_jmp.pdf).
- Borts, G.H. (1960). Regional Cycles of Manufacturing Employment in the United States, 1914-1953. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 289: 151–211.
- Boustan, L.P. (2010). Was Post-war Suburbanization “White Flight”? Evidence from the Black Migration. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 125(1): 417-443.
- Boustan, L.P., Fishback, P., and Kantor, S., (2010). The Effect of Internal Migration on Local Labor Markets: American Cities During the Great Depression. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 28(4): 719-746.

Bozzoli, C., Deaton, A., and Quintana-Domeque, C. (2009). Adult height and childhood disease. *Demography*, 46(4): 647-669.

Bratberg, E., Nilsen, Ø. A., and Vaage, K. (2008). Job Losses and Child Outcomes. *Labour Economics*, 15 (4): 591–603.

Browning, M. and Heinesen, E. (2012). Effect of job loss due to plant closure on mortality and hospitalization. *Journal of Health Economics*, 31(4): 599–616.

Cameron, A.C., Gelbach, J.B., and Miller, D.L. (2011). Robust inference with multiway clustering. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 29(2): 238-249.

Carlson, K. (2015). Fear Itself: The Effects of Distressing Economic News on Birth Outcomes. *Journal of Health Economics*, 41: 117–132.

Case, A. and Paxson, C. (2008). Stature and status: Height, ability, and labor market outcomes. *Journal of Political Economy*, 116(3): 499–532.

Chetty, Raj, John N. Friedman, Nathaniel Hilger, Emmanuel Saez, Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach, and Danny Yagan (2011). How does your kindergarten classroom affect your earnings? Evidence from Project STAR. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 126, 1593-1660.

Creamer, D. and Merwin, C. (1942). State Distribution of Income Payments, 1929-1946. *Survey of Current Business*, 18–26.

Christiano, L., Motto, R., and Rostagno, M. (2003). The Great Depression and the Friedman-Schwartz hypothesis. *Proceedings, Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland*, 1119-1215.

Coelli, M.B. (2011). Parental Job Loss and the Education Enrollment of Youth. *Labour Economics*, 18 (1), 25–35.

Costa, D.L. (1998). *The Evolution of Retirement: An American Economic History, 1880-1990*. University of Chicago Press.

Costa, D.L. (2015). Health and the Economy in the United States, from 1750 to the Present. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 53(3): 503-570



- Cotter, D., & Pariante, C. M. (2002). Stress and the progression of the developmental hypothesis of schizophrenia. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 181(5), 363-365.
- Cunha, F. and J. Heckman (2007). The technology of skill formation. *American Economic Review*, 97 (2), 31–47.
- Currie, J. and with H. Schwandt (2014). Short and Long-Term Effects of Unemployment on Fertility. *PNAS*, 111(41): 14734-14739.
- Cutler, D., Huang, W, and Lleras-Muney, A. (2019). Economic conditions and mortality: Evidence from 200 years of data. *NBER*, working paper 22690.
- Cutler, D. and Miller, G. (2005). The role of public health improvements in health advances: The twentieth-century United States. *Demography*, 42(1): 1-22.
- Cutler, D.M., Miller, G., and Norton, D.M. (2007). Evidence on early-life income and late-life health from America's Dust Bowl era. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(33), 13244-13249.
- Dehejia, R. and Lleras-Muney, A. (2004). Booms, busts, and babies' health. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(3): 1091–130.
- De Rooij, S. R., Wouters, H., Yonker, J. E., Painter, R. C., & Roseboom, T. J. (2010). Prenatal undernutrition and cognitive function in late adulthood. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(39), 16881-16886.
- DiPietro, J. A., and Voegtline, K. M. (2017). The gestational foundation of sex differences in development and vulnerability. *Neuroscience*, 342, 4-20.
- Duncan, G. J., and Brooks-Gunn, J. (Eds.). (1997). *Consequences of growing up poor*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Duncan, B., Mansour, H. and Rees, D.I. (2015). Prenatal Stress and Low Birth Weight: Evidence from the Super Bowl. *Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA)*, Discussion Paper 9053.
- Duque, V., Pilkauskas, N., and Garfinkel, I. (2018). Assets among low-income families in the Great Recession. *Plos One*, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192370>.

Duque, V. Rosales, M., and Sanchez, F. (2020). How Do Early-Life Shocks Interact with Subsequent Human Capital Investments? Evidence from Administrative Data. *Working Paper*.

Elder Jr., G. (1974). *Children of The Great Depression: Social Changes in Life Experience*. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.

Eliason, M. and Storrie, D. (2009a). Does job loss shorten life? *Journal of Human Resources*, 44(2): 277–302.

Eliason, M. and Storrie, D. (2009b). Job loss is bad for your health: Swedish evidence on cause-specific hospitalization following involuntary job loss. *Social Science and Medicine*, 68(8): 1396–406.

Fang, Chichun. (2018). Health and Retirement Study Imputations of Lifetime Earnings Records: Data Description and Technical Documentation. Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan.  
[https://hrs.isr.umich.edu/sites/default/files/restricted\\_data\\_docs/Imputed\\_Lifetime\\_Earnings.pdf](https://hrs.isr.umich.edu/sites/default/files/restricted_data_docs/Imputed_Lifetime_Earnings.pdf)

Feigenbaum, J.J. (2015). Intergenerational Mobility during the Great Depression. *Working paper*.

Fishback, P.V. (2017). How Successful was the New Deal? The Microeconomic Impact of New Deal Spending and Lending Policies in the 1930s. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 55(4): 1435-1485

Fishback, P.V., Haines, M.R., and Kantor, S. (2001). The Impact of New Deal Programs on Black and White Infant Mortality in the South. *Explorations in Economic History*, 38, 93-122.

Fishback, P.V., Haines, M.R., and Kantor, S. (2007). Births, Deaths, and New Deal Relief during the Great Depression. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 89(1): 1-14.

Fishback, P.V., Horrace, W., and Kantor, S. (2005). Did New Deal Grant Programs Stimulate Local Economies? A Study of Federal Grants and Retail Sales during the Great Depression. *Journal of Economic History*, 65(1): 36-71.

- Fishback, P.V., Horrace, W., and Kantor, S. (2006). The Impact of New Deal Expenditures on Mobility During the Great Depression. *Explorations in Economic History*, 43: 179-222.
- Fishback, P.V., Kantor, S., and Wallis, J.J. (2003). Can the New Deal's three Rs be rehabilitated? A program-by-program, county-by-county analysis. *Explorations in Economic History*, 40: 278-307.
- Fishback, P, Troesken, W., Kollmann, T. Haines, M., Rhode, P. and Thomasson, M. (2011). Information and the Impact of Climate and Weather on Mortality Rates During the Great Depression. In Libecap, Gary and Richard H. Steckel (editors). *The Economics of Climate Change: Adaptations Past and Present*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Fisher, I. (1933). The Debt-Deflation Theory of Great Depressions. *Econometrica*, 1(4): 337-57.
- French, E. (2005). The Effects of Health, Wealth and Wages on Labor Supply and Retirement Behavior. *Review of Economic Studies*, 72, 395--427.
- Gale, C. R., Booth, T., Starr, J. M., and Deary, I. J. (2016). Intelligence and socioeconomic position in childhood in relation to frailty and cumulative allostatic load in later life: the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 70(6), 576-582.
- Garrett, T.A. and Wheelock, D.C. (2006). Why Did Income Growth Vary Across States During the Great Depression? *Journal of Economic History*, 66: 456-466.
- Gluckman, P. and Hanson, M. (2005). *The Fetal Matrix: Evolution, Development and Disease*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Goldin, C. D. (1991). The role of World War II in the rise of women's employment. *American Economic Review*, 741-756.
- Goldin C. The Long Road to the Fast Track: Career and Family. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 596: 20-35.
- Goldin, C. and Olivetti, C. (2013). Shocking Labor Supply: A Reassessment of the Role of World War II on Women's Labor Supply. *American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings*, 103(3): 257-62.

- Golberstein, E., Gonzales, G., and Meara, E. (2016). Economic Conditions and Children's Mental Health. *NBER Working paper*, 22459.
- Granados, J.A., Tapia, R., and Roux, Ana V.D. (2009). Life and Death During the Great Depression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(41): 17290-17295.
- Gruber, J. and Wise, D. (2002). An International Perspective on Policies for an Aging Society, in Stuart Altman and David Schactman, eds., *Policies for An Aging Society: Confronting the Economic and Political Challenges*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins Press, 34-62.
- Gutierrez, F. (2014) Long-Term Consequences of Early Life Health Shocks: Evidence from the 1980s Peruvian Crisis. *Working paper*.
- Gutmann, M., Brown, D., Cunningham, A., Dykes, J., Hautaniemi Leonard, S., Little, J., Mikecz, J. et al. (2016). Migration in the 1930s: Beyond the Dust Bowl *Social Science History*, 40: 707-740.
- Hansen, Z. and Libecap, G. (2004). Small Farms, Externalities, and the Dust Bowl of the 1930s. *Journal of Political Economy*, 112(3): 665-694.
- Hornbeck, R. (2012). The Enduring Impact of the American Dust Bowl: Short- and Long-Run Adjustments to Environmental Catastrophe. *American Economic Review*, 102(4): 1477–1507.
- Hausman, J.K. (2016). Fiscal Policy and Economic Recovery: The Case of the 1936 Veterans' Bonus. *American Economic Review*, 106(4): 1100–1143.
- Heckman, J. J. (2008). Schools, skills, and synapses. *Economic Inquiry*, 46(3): 289–324.
- Hilger, N.G. (2016). Parental Job Loss and Children's Long-Term Outcomes: Evidence from 7 Million Fathers' Layoffs. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 8 (3): 247–83.
- Hobel, C., & Culhane, J. (2003). Role of psychosocial and nutritional stress on poor pregnancy outcome. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 133(5), 1709S-1717S.
- Hoynes, H., Schanzenbach, D., and Almond, D. (2016). Long-Run Impacts of Childhood Access to the Safety Net. *American Economic Review*, 106(4): 903–934.

- Huff-Stevens, A., Miller, D.L., Page, M.E. and Filipski, M. (2011). The best of times, the worst of times: Understanding pro-cyclical mortality. *Working Paper, NBER*, 17657.
- Jones, L. E., and Tertilt, M. (2008). *An Economic History of Fertility in the United States: 1826–1960*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Kehoe, T. and Prescott, E. (2007). *Great Depressions of the Twentieth Century*. Minneapolis, Minnesota: Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis.
- Keynes, J.M. (1936). *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. Palgrave MacMillan, London: UK.
- Kling, R.J., Liebman, J.B., and Katz, L.F. (2007). Experimental Analysis of Neighborhood Effects. *Econometrica*, 75(1): 83–119.
- Knudsen, E. I., J. J. Heckman, J. L. Cameron, and J. P. Shonkoff (2006). Economic, neurobiological, and behavioral perspectives on building America’s future workforce. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(27): 10155–10162.
- Krusell, P., Mukoyama, T., Şahin, A., & Smith Jr, A. A. (2009). Revisiting the welfare effects of eliminating business cycles. *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 12(3), 393-404.
- Lindeboom, M., Portrait, F., and Van den Berg, G. J. (2010). Long-run effects on longevity of a nutritional shock early in life: the Dutch Potato famine of 1846–1847. *Journal of health economics*, 29(5), 617-629.
- Lindo, J.M. (2011). Parental Job Loss and Infant Health. *Journal of Health Economics*, 30: 869- 879.
- Lleras-Muney, A. and Moreau, F. (2018). A Unified Law of Mortality for Economic Analysis. NBER [https://conference.nber.org/conf\\_papers/f131339.pdf](https://conference.nber.org/conf_papers/f131339.pdf)
- Løken, K. V. (2010). Family Income and Children’s Education: Using the Norwegian Oil Boom as a Natural Experiment. *Labour Economics*, 17 (1): 118–29.
- Lucas Jr, R. E. (2003). Macroeconomic priorities. *American Economic Review*, 93(1), 1-14.

- Lumey, L.H., Stein AD, Susser E. (2011). Prenatal Famine and Adult Health. *Annual Review of Public Health*, (32): 237- 62.
- Maloney, T.N. (1994). Wage Compression and Wage Inequality Between Black and White Males in the United States, 1940-1960. *Journal of Economic History*, 54(2): 358-381.
- Margo, R.A. (1995). Explaining Black-White Wage Convergence, 1940-1950. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 48(3): 470-481.
- Naeye, R. L., Burt, L. S., Wright, D. L., Blanc, W. A., & Tatter, D. (1971). Neonatal mortality, the male disadvantage. *Pediatrics*, 48, 902–906.
- Oreopoulos, P., Page, M., and Stevens, A.H. (2008). The Intergenerational Effects of Worker Displacement. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 26 (3): 455–483.
- Page, M., Huff Stevens, A., and Lindo, J. (2007). Parental Income Shocks and Outcomes of Disadvantaged Youth in the United States. In Jonathan Gruber, Ed., *The Problems of Disadvantaged Youth: An Economic Perspective*, University of Chicago Press, 213–235.
- Persson, P. and Rossin-Slater, M. (2016). Family ruptures, stress, and the mental health of the next generation. *American Economic Review*, 108(4-5), 1214–52.
- Pongou, R. (2013). Why is infant mortality higher in boys than in girls? A new hypothesis based on preconception environment and evidence from a large sample of twins. *Demography*, 50: 421–444.
- Prinz, D., Chernew, M., Cutler, D., and Frakt, A. (2018). Health and Economic Activity Over the Lifecycle: Literature Review. *NBER*, wp # 24865.
- Rao, N. (2016). The Impact of Macroeconomic Conditions in Childhood on Adult Labor Market Outcomes. *Economic Inquiry*, 54 (3): 1425–1444.
- Roseboom, T. J., Painter, R. C., van Abeelen, A. F., Veenendaal, M. V., & de Rooij, S. R. (2011). Hungry in the womb: what are the consequences? Lessons from the Dutch famine. *Maturitas*, 70(2), 141-145.

Rosenbloom, J. L. and Sundstrom, W. A. (1999). The Sources of Regional Variation in the Severity of the Great Depression: Evidence from U.S. Manufacturing, 1919-1937. *Journal of Economic History*, 59: 714–747.

Ruggles, S. Flood, S., Goeken, R., Grover, J., Meyer, E., Pacas, J. and Sobek, M. IPUMS USA: Version 9.0 [dataset]. Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.18128/D010.V9.0>

Ruhm, C. (2000). Are recessions good for your health? *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 115(2): 617–50.

Ruhm, C. (2003). Good times make you sick. *Journal of Health Economics*, 22(4): 637–58.

Ruhm, C. (2005). Healthy living in hard times. *Journal of Health Economics*, 24(2): 341–63.

Ruhm, C. and Black, W.E. (2002). Does drinking really decrease in bad times? *Journal of Health Economics*, 21(4): 659–78.

Sandman, C. A., Glynn, L. M., and Davis, E. P. (2013). Is there a viability–vulnerability tradeoff? Sex differences in fetal programming. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 75(4), 327-335.

Schaller, J., Fishback, P., and Marquardt, K. (2020). Local Economic Conditions and Fertility from the Great Depression through the Great Recession. *American Economic Review P&P*.

Seckl, J. R., & Holmes, M. C. (2007). Mechanisms of disease: glucocorticoids, their placental metabolism and fetal ‘programming’ of adult pathophysiology. *Nature Clinical Practice Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 3(6), 479-488.

Smith, J.P. (2009). The Impact of Childhood Health on Adult Labor Market Outcomes. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 91(3): 478-489.


Stevens, A., Miller, D., Page, M., and Filipinski, M. (2015). The Best of Times, the Worst of Times: Understanding Pro-cyclical Mortality. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 7(4): 279-311.

- Smith, J. P., D. Thomas, K. Beegle, E. Frankenberg and G. Teruel. (2002). Wages, employment and economic shocks: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Population Economics*, 15:161-93.
- Sobotka, T., Skirbekk, V, and Philipov, D. (2011). Economic recession and fertility in the developed world. *Population and Development Review*, 37(2): 267-306.
- Sullivan, D. and von Wachter, T. (2009). Job displacement and mortality: an analysis using administrative data. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 124(3): 1265–306.
- Stevens, A.H. and Schaller, J. (2011). Short-run effects of parental job loss on children's academic achievement. *Economics of Education Review*, 30(2): 289-299.
- Strauss, J., K. Beegle, A. Dwiyanto, Y. Herawati, D. Pattinasarany, E. Setiawan, B. Sikoki, Sukamdi and F. Witoelar. (2004). Indonesian living standards before and after the financial crisis: Evidence from the Indonesia Family Life Survey. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Stuart, B. (2019). The Long-Run Effects of Recessions on Education and Income. *Working paper*.
- Stuckler, D., Meissner, C., Fishback, P., Basu, S., and McKee, M. (2012). Banking crises and mortality during the Great Depression: Evidence from US urban populations, 1929-1937. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 66(5): 410-419.
- Temin, P. (1994). The Great Depression, in Stanley Engerman and Robert Gallman (eds.), *The Cambridge Economic History of the United States* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000), pp. 301-328.
- Terkel, S. (2012). *Hard Times, An Illustrated Oral History of the Great Depression*. New York, NY: The New Press.
- Thomas, D., K. Beegle, E. Frankenberg, B. Sikoki, J. Strauss and G. Teruel. (2004). Education in a crisis. *Journal of Development Economics*, 74.1:53-85.
- Thomas, D. and E. Frankenberg. (2007). Household responses to the financial crisis in Indonesia: Longitudinal evidence on poverty, resources and well-being. In A. Harrison (ed). *Globalization and Poverty*, Cambridge University Press.




- Thompson, R. A. (2014). Stress and Child Development. *The Future of Children*, 24(1): 41-59.
- Thompson, R. A. and Nelson, C. A. (2001). Developmental science and the media: Early brain development. *American Psychologist*, 56(1): 5.
- Thomasson, M. and Fishback, P.V. (2014). Hard Times in the Land of Plenty: The Effect on Income and Disability Later in Life for People Born During the Great Depression. *Explorations in Economic History*, 54: 64-78.
- Van den Berg, G.J., Lindeboom, M., and Portrait, F. (2006). Economic Conditions Early in Life and Individual Mortality. *American Economic Review*, 96(1): 290-302.
- Van den Bergh, b.r., Mulder, E.J., Mennes, M., and Glover, V. (2005). Antenatal maternal anxiety and stress and the Neurobehavioural development of the fetus and child: links and possible mechanisms. A review. *Neuroscience Biobehavior Review*, 29(2): 237-58.
- Van der Linden, BWA., Cheval, B., Sieber, S., Orsholits, D., Guessous, I., Stringhini, S., Gabriel, R., Aartsen, M., Blane, D., Courvoisier, D., Burton-Jeangros, C., Kliegel, M., Cullati, S. Life Course Socioeconomic Conditions and Frailty at Older Ages, *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, , gbz018.
- Victoria, C. G., M. de Onis, P. C. Hallal, M. Blossner, and R. Shrimpton. (2010). Worldwide Timing of Growth Faltering: Revisiting Implications for Interventions. *Pediatrics*, 125: 473–480.
- Waldron, I. (1985). What Do We Know About Causes of Sex Differences in Mortality? A Review of the Literature. *Population Bulletin of the United Nations* 18:59–76.
- Wallis, J.J. (1989). Employment in the Great Depression: New Data and Hypotheses. *Explorations in Economic History*, 26: 45-72.
- Worster, Donald. *Dust Bowl: The Southern Plains in the 1930s*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1979.

**Figure 1.** Real GDP per Working-age Person in the US, 1875-2010




Sources: Kehoe and Prescott (2007) and Professor Timothy Kehoe's website:  
<http://users.econ.umn.edu/~tkehoe/>

**Figure 2.** Wage Index, 1929-1940



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

**Figure 3.** The Effect of the Wage index on Log (accumulated earnings) by Age



Note: Each figure is obtained from a separate regression of the outcome variable on the wage index at different ages. Models include birth year, birth state, and HRS year fixed-effects, individual covariates, birth region FE\*YOB FE, state controls\*linear trends, and share of manufacturing in 1930\*YOB FE. The state-level controls interacted by linear time-trends include: 1928 infant mortality rate, 1929 maternal mortality rate, and whether state has share of farmland above the 75th percentile. We also include a dummy variable equal to one if a respondent's SSA earnings records were imputed due to top coding or masked numbers. Sample includes all individuals born between 1929 and 1940 between the ages of 50 and 65 in the HRS who consented to SSA earnings records linkage. Estimates are weighted using the HRS sample weights and robust standard errors are jointly clustered at the state of birth and individual level. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics**

	Full Sample	Men	Women	Whites	Non-Whites
<b><u>Treatment variables</u></b>					
Wage index	101.6 [19.29]	101.5 [19.17]	101.7 [19.40]	100.8 [18.70]	108.0 [22.40]
Wage index in utero	97.15 [16.45]	97.10 [16.37]	97.19 [16.52]	96.50 [16.04]	102.19 [18.58]
Wage index ages 1-6	132.58 [43.00]	132.58 [42.85]	132.57 [43.13]	130.14 [40.79]	151.58 [53.73]
<b><u>Outcomes</u></b>					
<b>Human capital index (SD)</b>	0.11 [0.93]	0.22 [0.95]	0.01 [0.91]	0.17 [0.91]	-0.36 [0.97]
HS plus	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.84	0.60
Household wealth (2010 dollars)	541,684 [1,519,653]	578,227 [1,563,241]	509,641 [1,479,659]	593,501 [1,602,543]	137,223 [323,749]
Working status	0.31	0.36	0.26	0.31	0.29
Individual earnings (2010 dollars)	16,033 [42,786]	23,267 [57,721]	9,690 [20,697]	16,494 [44,300]	12,434 [28,057]
Log (individual earnings)	3.92 [4.96]	4.49 [5.19]	3.41 [4.70]	3.94 [4.97]	3.72 [4.85]
<b>Metabolic syndrome index (SD)</b>	-0.04 [0.94]	0.02 [0.96]	-0.09 [0.93]	-0.07 [0.93]	0.25 [1.02]
Diabetes	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.29
Heart disease	0.22	0.26	0.19	0.22	0.22
Stroke	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.09
High blood pressure	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.52	0.69
<b>Physical limitations index (SD)</b>	-0.18 [0.67]	-0.20 [0.63]	-0.16 [0.70]	-0.20 [0.62]	0.01 [0.95]
Activities of daily living (ADLs)	0.22 [0.71]	0.20 [0.67]	0.23 [0.74]	0.19 [0.66]	0.40 [0.99]
Instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs)	0.18 [0.65]	0.17 [0.63]	0.19 [0.66]	0.16 [0.60]	0.35 [0.92]
<b><u>Individual characteristics</u></b>					
Year of birth	1936	1936	1936	1936	1936
HSR interview year	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002
Age (in years)	65.60 [7.23]	65.41 [7.18]	65.76 [7.26]	65.64 [7.23]	65.31 [7.18]
Female	0.53	-	1.00	0.53	0.57
White	0.89	0.89	0.88	1.00	-
Black	0.10	0.09	0.10	-	0.84
Other	0.02	0.02	0.02	-	0.16
Mother's education= No degree	0.52	0.49	0.55	0.51	0.66

Mother's education= HS plus	0.40	0.43	0.38	0.43	0.19
Mother's education= missing info	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.14
Father was absent	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.16
Father's location is missing	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06
Veteran status	0.28	0.58	0.01	0.29	0.19
Rural household	0.45	0.47	0.43	0.45	0.48
Rural household missing info	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09
N (person observations)	7,352	3,517	3,835	5,878	1,474
N (person-year observations)	61,850	28,285	33,565	50,437	11,413

Note: The sample includes all individuals born in the U.S. in the 1930s and observed in the HRS. The economic outcomes focus on the sample aged 50-65, while all other outcomes and variables are measured for individuals aged 50 plus. Household wealth is measured in \$100,000s. Earnings and wealth are given in 2010 dollars. All statistics are weighted using HRS sample weights. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.

**Table 2.** Association between the Wage Index and Individual and Household Characteristics

	Age (years)	Female	White	Non- white	Veteran	Mother has no education
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	0.00164 [0.00148]	<b>0.00272*</b> <b>[0.00144]</b>	0.00017 [0.00071]	-0.00017 [0.00071]	-0.00259 [0.00175]	0.00220 [0.00160]
Wage index In-utero - Age 6	-0.00022 [0.00040]	-0.00042 [0.00041]	0.00011 [0.00039]	-0.00011 [0.00039]	0.00013 [0.00056]	0.00022 [0.00049]
<i>...continued</i>						
	Mother has HS	Mother's education missing	Father was absent	Father's location missing	Rural HH	Rural status missing
	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00220 [0.00160]	<b>0.00140*</b> <b>[0.00077]</b>	0.00092 [0.00075]	-0.00013 [0.00035]	-0.00002 [0.00216]	-0.00103 [0.00095]
Wage index In-utero - Age 6	-0.00022 [0.00049]	-0.00038 [0.00027]	<b>-0.00049**</b> <b>[0.00021]</b>	0.00012 [0.00013]	-0.00047 [0.00065]	0.00001 [0.00024]
N	61,850	61,850	61,850	61,850	61,850	56,725
Birth Year FE	X	X	X	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X
Year FE	X	X	X	X	X	X

Note: The sample includes all individuals born in the U.S. in the 1930s and observed in the HRS after age 50. Errors are clustered at the respondent-state level. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.

**Table 3.** The Effect of the Wage Index on Human Capital, Metabolic Syndrome, and Physical Limitations

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<b>Panel A - Outcome: Human Capital Index; N=33,621</b>					
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	0.00250 [0.00342]	0.00020 [0.00292]	0.00195 [0.00454]	-0.00130 [0.00507]	0.00039 [0.00566]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	0.00340 [0.00301]	<b>0.00634**</b> [ <b>0.00285</b> ]	<b>0.00703**</b> [ <b>0.00321</b> ]	<b>0.00606*</b> [ <b>0.00323</b> ]	<b>0.00753**</b> [ <b>0.00378</b> ]
Wage index Ages 1-6	0.00184 [0.00129]	<b>0.00213*</b> [ <b>0.00120</b> ]	<b>0.00397**</b> [ <b>0.00155</b> ]	<b>0.00333**</b> [ <b>0.00153</b> ]	<b>0.00340**</b> [ <b>0.00156</b> ]
Mean (SD)			0.11 (0.93)		
Effect of a 1SD increase in wages in-utero		0.14	0.15	0.13	0.16
<b>Panel B - Outcome: Metabolic Syndrome Index; N=61,850</b>					
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00286 [0.00258]	-0.00159 [0.00262]	-0.00168 [0.00297]	-0.00271 [0.00321]	-0.00056 [0.00352]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	-0.00198 [0.00278]	-0.00249 [0.00279]	<b>-0.00969***</b> [ <b>0.00370</b> ]	<b>-0.01015***</b> [ <b>0.00364</b> ]	<b>-0.01248***</b> [ <b>0.00442</b> ]
Wage index Ages 1-6	0.00087 [0.00088]	0.00084 [0.00087]	0.00112 [0.00111]	0.00071 [0.00110]	0.00040 [0.00118]
Mean (SD)			-0.03 (0.98)		
Effect of a 1SD increase in wages in-utero			-0.20	-0.21	-0.25
<b>Panel C - Outcome: Physical Limitations Index; N=48,602</b>					
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00121 [0.00218]	-0.00048 [0.00229]	0.00172 [0.00270]	0.00075 [0.00267]	0.00045 [0.00289]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	-0.00057 [0.00182]	-0.00132 [0.00191]	<b>-0.00523**</b> [ <b>0.00247</b> ]	<b>-0.00563**</b> [ <b>0.00248</b> ]	<b>-0.00626**</b> [ <b>0.00306</b> ]
Wage index Ages 1-6	-0.00081 [0.00069]	-0.00093 [0.00073]	-0.00062 [0.00074]	-0.00089 [0.00083]	-0.00088 [0.00082]
Mean (SD)			-0.18 (0.67)		
Effect of a 1SD increase in wages in-utero			-0.16	-0.17	-0.19
YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X	X	X
HRS Survey Year FE	X	X	X	X	X
Individual Covariates		X	X	X	X
Birth Region FE*YOB FE			X	X	X
Additional state controls*linear trends				X	X
Share of Manufacturing*YOB FE					X

Note: Each column is obtained from a separate regression of the outcome variable on the wage index at different ages. For instance, results in column 1 only include year of birth, state of birth, and HRS survey year FE; column 2 adds individual and family covariates; etc. The additional state-level controls interacted by linear time-trends include: 1928 infant mortality rate, 1929 maternal mortality rate, and whether state has share of farmland above the 75th percentile. The sample comes from the HRS and includes cohorts born between 1929 and 1940, ages 50 plus. The human capital index only focuses on those up to age 65. Estimates are weighted using the HRS weights and robust standard errors are jointly clustered at the state of birth and individual level. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.

**Table 4.** The Heterogeneous Effects of the Wage Index on Human Capital, Metabolic Syndrome, and Physical Limitation by Sex and Race

	Men	Women	Whites	Non-Whites
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<b>Panel A - Outcome: Human Capital Index</b>				
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00584 [0.00893]	0.00931 [0.00740]	0.00357 [0.00583]	-0.00316 [0.01385]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	<b>0.01625***</b> <b>[0.00555]</b>	-0.00694 [0.00640]	0.00715 [0.00463]	-0.01595 [0.01521]
Wage index Ages 1-6	0.00156 [0.00172]	<b>0.00580**</b> <b>[0.00250]</b>	<b>0.00379**</b> <b>[0.00168]</b>	0.00267 [0.00406]
N	15,669	17,952	27,241	6,380
Mean (SD)	0.22 (0.95)	0.01 (0.91)	0.17 (0.91)	-0.36 (0.97)
Effect of a 1SD decline in wages in-utero	0.34			
<b>Panel B - Outcome: Metabolic Syndrome Index</b>				
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00086 [0.00602]	-0.00272 [0.00598]	-0.00164 [0.00382]	0.00247 [0.01486]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	-0.00844 [0.00616]	<b>-0.01515***</b> <b>[0.00563]</b>	<b>-0.01249***</b> <b>[0.00457]</b>	<b>-0.02707**</b> <b>[0.01209]</b>
Wage index Ages 1-6	0.00250 [0.00153]	-0.00191 [0.00154]	0.00122 [0.00125]	-0.00069 [0.00251]
N	28,285	33,565	50,437	11,413
Mean (SD)	0.02 (0.99)	-0.08 (0.97)	-0.07 (0.96)	0.28 (1.03)
Effect of a 1SD decline in wages in-utero		-0.31	-0.26	-0.52
<b>Panel C - Outcome: Physical Limitations Index</b>				
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00178 [0.00441]	0.00119 [0.00368]	0.00219 [0.00275]	-0.00015 [0.01952]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	-0.00140 [0.00412]	<b>-0.01106**</b> <b>[0.00442]</b>	-0.00463 [0.00286]	<b>-0.03374**</b> <b>[0.01465]</b>
Wage index Ages 1-6	-0.00001 [0.00121]	-0.00181 [0.00123]	-0.00134 [0.00082]	<b>0.00699***</b> <b>[0.00205]</b>
N	22,041	26,561	39,781	8,821
Mean (SD)	-0.20 (0.63)	-0.16 (0.70)	-0.20 (0.62)	0.01 (0.95)
Effect of a 1SD decline in wages in-utero		-0.32		-0.71

Note: Each column is from a separate regression of the outcome variable on the wage index at different ages. The model used corresponds to the model in column 5 in Table 3 that includes all covariates, state-level controls, and fixed-effects. The sample comes from the HRS and includes cohorts born between 1929 and 1940, ages 50 plus. The human capital index only focuses on those up to age 65. All models include controls as shown in column 5 of Table 3. Estimates are weighted using the HRS weights and robust standard errors are jointly clustered at the state of birth and individual level. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.



**Table 5:** Association between the Employment Index and the Sex Ratio (Males/Females) using U.S. Census Data

Census Year:	Sex Ratio (Males/Females)					
	1940	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Salaries Index Ages -3 to -2	-0.000015 [0.00006]	-0.000001 [0.00004]	-0.000005 [0.00003]	-0.000003 [0.00007]	0.000002 [0.00003]	0.000001 [0.00001]
Salaries Index In-utero - Birth	<b>0.00245**</b> [0.00117]	<b>0.00230***</b> [0.00074]	<b>0.00411**</b> [0.00183]	<b>0.00390*</b> [0.00223]	0.00036 [0.00204]	<b>0.0020**</b> [0.0010]
Salaries Index Ages 1-5	-0.000024 [0.00009]	-0.000009 [0.00009]	-0.000001 [0.00004]	-0.000015 [0.00012]	0.000049 [0.000037]	0.000004 [0.00003]
N	128,700	185,717	549,317	106,576	524,111	578,134
Mean		1.01	0.96	0.97	0.96	0.92
YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X
Birth Region FE*YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X
State-controls in 1930 * birth year	X	X	X	X	X	X
State-specific linear time trends	X	X	X	X	X	X

Note: the sample uses data from the decennial population Censuses of 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990. The sex ratio is defined as the number of males per number of females in each birth year-state associated with the cohort of interest (those born in the 1930s). Models include fixed effects at the year of birth, state of birth, and year of birth\*region of birth levels, state-specific linear time trends, and state-level controls as described in Equation 1. Errors are clustered at the state of birth level. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1.

**Table 6:** The Association between the Wage Index and Log Age-adjusted Death Rate using Vital Statistics - Mortality Records

	Log age-adjusted mortality rate		
	Full sample	Males	Females
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Salaries Index Ages -3 to -2	0.000004 [0.00004]	-0.00002 [0.00006]	0.000005 [0.00003]
Salaries Index In-utero - Birth	<b>-0.00267***</b> <b>[0.000115]</b>	<b>-0.00319**</b> <b>[0.00130]</b>	<b>-0.002171***</b> <b>[0.00064]</b>
Salaries Index Ages 1-6	-0.00005 [0.00003]	0.00005 [0.00016]	-0.000037 [0.000070]
Mean (deaths per 100,000)	1,491	691	738
Effect of a 1SD increase in salaries in-utero	-5.4%	-7.5%	-4.1%
YOB FE	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X
Wave FE	X	X	X


Note: The sample uses data from Vital Statistics - Death Records for 1980, 1990, and 2000. To construct the age-adjusted rate, we use population counts from the Census 1980 and 1990 and from the American Community Census 2000. The unit of observation is state-year-wave. The age range is: [39,74]. Models include state and year of birth FE, and wave FE. Errors are clustered at the state of birth level. The data are collapsed to the birth state-birth-year level and models are weighted using the number of individuals in each birth-state birth-year cell. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1.

**Table 7:** The Association between the Wage Index and Causes of Mortality using Vital Statistics - Mortality Records


	Log age-adjusted mortality rate			
	Infectious disease	Cancer	Diabetes/ Heart disease/ Cardiovascular	Accidents
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Salaries Index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00002 [0.00013]	-0.00001 [0.00007]	0.000023 [0.00007]	0.00017 [0.00013]
Salaries Index In-utero - Birth	0.00278 [0.00249]	-0.00132 [0.00189]	<b>-0.00464***</b> <b>[0.00158]</b>	0.00058 [0.00301]
Salaries Index Ages 1-6	-0.00016 [0.000146]	-0.00008 [0.00007]	-0.00004 [0.00007]	<b>0.00029**</b> <b>[0.00013]</b>
Mean (deaths per 100,000)	74	643	647	66
Effect of a 1SD increase in salaries in-utero			-10.2%	
YOB FE	X	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X	X
Individual controls	X	X	X	X

Note: The sample uses data from Vital Statistics - Death Records for 1980, 1990, and 2000 (some of the years that include an individual's state of birth and that the data are publicly available). To construct the age-adjusted rate, we use population counts from the Census 1980 and 1990 and from the American Community Census 2000. The unit of observation is state-year-wave. The age range is: [39,74]. Models include state and year of birth FE, and wave FE. Errors are clustered at the state of birth level. The data are collapsed to the birth state-birth-year level and models are weighted using the number of individuals in each birth-state birth-year cell. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1.


**Appendix Figure 1. Employment Index (Wallis), 1929-1940**



**Appendix Figure 2. Car Sales Index, 1926-1940s**



**Appendix Figure 3.** Crude Birth Rate in the U.S., 1930-1940  
(Number of Live Births per 1,000 Population)



Source: Vital Statistics Rates in the United States 1900-1940.<sup>28</sup>

<sup>28</sup> Link: [https://data.nber.org/vital-stats-books/vsrates1900\\_40.CV.pdf](https://data.nber.org/vital-stats-books/vsrates1900_40.CV.pdf)

**Appendix Table 1.** The Effect of the Wage Index on the Components of the Human Capital, Metabolic Syndrome, and Physical Limitation Indices

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<b>Panel A - Outcome: Human Capital Index; N=33,621</b>				
	High school plus	Employed	log(earnings)	Wealth>median
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.002 [0.00274]	<b>0.00442*</b> [ <b>0.00255</b> ]	-0.00812 [0.03301]	-0.00111 [0.00296]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	-0.00021 [0.00191]	0.00033 [0.00184]	<b>0.04980**</b> [ <b>0.02072</b> ]	<b>0.00448*</b> [ <b>0.00245</b> ]
Wage index Ages 1-6	<b>0.00125*</b> [ <b>0.00065</b> ]	0.00107 [0.00067]	<b>0.01692***</b> [ <b>0.00641</b> ]	0.0001 [0.00086]
Mean (SD)	0.77 (0.42)	0.50 (0.50)	5.87 (5.11)	0.44 (0.50)
Effect of a 1 SD decline in wages in-utero			0.09	20%
<b>Panel B - Outcome: Metabolic Syndrome Index; N=61,850</b>				
	Diabetes	Heart disease	Stroke	High blood pressure
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00089 [0.00198]	<b>-0.00342*</b> [ <b>0.00190</b> ]	<b>0.00225*</b> [ <b>0.00124</b> ]	-0.00043 [0.00251]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	<b>-0.00315*</b> [ <b>0.00191</b> ]	-0.0004 [0.00216]	<b>-0.00327***</b> [ <b>0.00126</b> ]	<b>-0.00420*</b> [ <b>0.00238</b> ]
Wage index Ages 1-6	0.00049 [0.00071]	0.0001 [0.00046]	<b>-0.00054**</b> [ <b>0.00026</b> ]	0.00078 [0.00053]
Mean (SD)	0.19 (0.39)	0.22 (0.42)	0.06 (0.24)	0.55 (0.50)
Effect of a 1 SD decline in wages in-utero	-0.36		-0.11	-0.16
<b>Panel C - Outcome: Physical Limitations Index; N=48,602</b>				
	ADL	IADL		
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00149 [0.00288]	0.00236 [0.00288]		
Wage index In-utero - Birth	<b>-0.00618**</b> [ <b>0.00304</b> ]	<b>-0.00542*</b> [ <b>0.00309</b> ]		
Wage index Ages 1-6	-0.00033 [0.00094]	<b>-0.00131*</b> [ <b>0.00079</b> ]		
Mean (SD)	0.25 (0.77)	0.20 (0.68)		
Effect of a 1 SD decline in wages in-utero	-0.56	-0.60		

Note: Each column is obtained from a separate regression of the outcome variable on the wage index at different ages. The additional state-level controls interacted by linear time-trends include: 1928 infant mortality rate, 1929 maternal mortality rate, and whether state has share of farmland above the 75th percentile. The sample comes from the HRS and includes cohorts born between 1929 and 1940, ages 50 plus. The human capital index only focuses on those up to age 65. Estimates are weighted using the HRS weights and robust standard errors are jointly clustered at the state of birth and individual level. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.

**Appendix Table 2.** The Effect of the Wage Index on Age at Full Retirement

	Full sample	Men	Women
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Wage index ages -3 to -2	0.04283 [0.04503]	0.07157 [0.06190]	0.03832 [0.05206]
Wage index in utero - birth	<b>0.06137**</b> <b>[0.02635]</b>	<b>0.09635*</b> <b>[0.05259]</b>	0.01218 [0.04914]
Wage index ages 1-6	<b>0.01692*</b> <b>[0.00913]</b>	0.01816 [0.01604]	<b>0.02330*</b> <b>[0.01308]</b>
N	23,275	12,887	15,388
Mean (SD)	62.96 (5.75)	63.15 (5.60)	62.79 (5.88)
Effect of a 1 SD decline in wages in utero	1.22 years	1.92 years	

Note: Each column is obtained from a separate regression of the outcome variable on the wage index at different ages. Each model includes all control variables and fixed effects as in Column 5 in Table 3. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.

**Appendix Table 3.** The Effect of the Wage Index on Log (accumulated earnings) by Age

	Age 35	Age 40	Age 45	Age 50	Age 55	Age 60	Age 65
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00187 [0.00917]	-0.01144 [0.00906]	-0.00592 [0.01002]	-0.00780 [0.00973]	-0.01436 [0.00961]	-0.01435 [0.00986]	-0.01605 [0.00982]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	0.00538 [0.01007]	<b>0.01470*</b> <b>[0.00871]</b>	<b>0.01723**</b> <b>[0.00828]</b>	0.01097 [0.00844]	<b>0.01578**</b> <b>[0.00785]</b>	<b>0.01622**</b> <b>[0.00745]</b>	<b>0.01850**</b> <b>[0.00767]</b>
Wage index Ages 1-6	0.00161 [0.00185]	<b>0.00324*</b> <b>[0.00192]</b>	<b>0.00344*</b> <b>[0.00193]</b>	<b>0.00591***</b> <b>[0.00214]</b>	<b>0.00465**</b> <b>[0.00215]</b>	<b>0.00495**</b> <b>[0.00224]</b>	<b>0.00467**</b> <b>[0.00227]</b>
N	47,989	50,328	51,588	52,273	52,621	52,754	52,789
Mean (SD)	11.69 (1.67)	12.16 (1.64)	12.58 (1.54)	12.88 (1.50)	13.09 (1.49)	13.21 (1.50)	13.27 (1.51)
Effect of a 1SD increase in wages in-utero		2.4%	2.7%		2.4%	2.5%	2.8%
YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
HRS Survey Year FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Individual Covariates	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Birth Region FE*YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Add'l state controls*linear trends	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Share of Manufacturing*YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Note: Each column is obtained from a separate regression of the outcome variable on the wage index at different ages. We include respondents with positive earnings only. The additional state-level controls interacted by linear time-trends include: 1928 infant mortality rate, 1929 maternal mortality rate, and whether state has share of farmland above the 75th percentile. We also include a dummy variable equal to one if a respondent's SSA earnings records were imputed due to top coding or masked numbers. Sample includes all individuals born between 1929 and 1940 between the ages of 50 and 65 in the HRS who consented to SSA earnings records linkage. Estimates are weighted using the HRS sample weights and robust standard errors are jointly clustered at the state of birth and individual level. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.



**Appendix Table 4.** The Effect of the Employment Index and the Car Sales Index on Human Capital, Metabolic Syndrome, and Physical Limitations

	Wages	Employment	Car Sales
	(1)	(2)	(3)
<b>Panel A - Outcome: Human Capital Index; N=33,621</b>			
Index Ages -3 to -2	0.00039 [0.00566]	-0.00543 [0.00438]	-0.0010 [0.003049]
Index - In-utero	<b>0.00753**</b> [0.00378]	<b>0.01224***</b> [0.00342]	-0.001135 [0.00300]
Index - Post birth	<b>0.00340**</b> [0.00156]	<b>0.01440**</b> [0.00727]	<b>0.003922**</b> [0.0016571]
Mean (SD)		0.11 (0.93)	
Effect of a 1SD decline in wages in-utero	0.16	0.19	
<b>Panel B - Outcome: Metabolic Syndrome Index; N=61,850</b>			
Index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00056 [0.00352]	-0.00021 [0.00503]	-0.000375 [0.001995]
Index - In-utero	<b>-0.01248***</b> [0.00442]	<b>-0.00948*</b> [0.00510]	<b>-0.005788***</b> [0.001747]
Index - Post birth	0.00040 [0.00118]	0.00062 [0.00623]	<b>-0.0069***</b> [0.00239]
Mean (SD)		-0.03 (0.98)	
Effect of a 1SD decline in wages in-utero	-0.25	-0.14	-0.14
<b>Panel C - Outcome: Physical Limitations Index; N=48,602</b>			
Index Ages -3 to -2	0.00045 [0.00289]	0.00033 [0.00360]	0.000577 [0.001479]
Index - In-utero	<b>-0.00626**</b> [0.00306]	<b>-0.00749***</b> [0.00254]	<b>-0.003141**</b> [0.001394]
Index - Post birth	-0.00088 [0.00082]	<b>-0.00719**</b> [0.00356]	<b>-0.00549***</b> [0.002044]
Mean (SD)		-0.18 (0.67)	
Effect of a 1SD decline in wages in-utero	-0.19	-0.16	-0.11
YOB FE	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X
HRS Survey Year FE	X	X	X
Individual Covariates	X	X	X
Birth Region FE*YOB FE	X	X	X
Add'l state controls*linear trends	X	X	X
Share of Manufacturing*YOB FE	X	X	X

Note: Please see notes in Table 3. Index - Post birth is defined between ages 1-6 for wages, while between ages 1 and 2 for employment and car sales given data availability.

**Appendix Table 5.** The Effect of the Wage Index on Human Capital, Metabolic Syndrome, and Physical Limitation in Dust Bowl and Neighboring States

	Human Capital Index			Metabolic Syndrome			Physical Limitations Index		
	Full sample	Excluding DB and neighboring states	Only DB and neighboring states	Full sample	Excluding DB and neighboring states	Only DB and neighboring states	Full sample	Excluding DB and neighboring states	Only DB and neighboring states
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	0.00039 [0.00566]	0.00134 [0.00631]	0.00409 [0.01361]	-0.00056 [0.00352]	-0.00214 [0.00330]	0.00504 [0.01122]	0.00045 [0.00289]	-0.00037 [0.00398]	<b>0.00821**</b> <b>[0.00373]</b>
Wage index In-utero - Birth	<b>0.00753**</b> <b>[0.00378]</b>	0.00518 [0.00417]	0.00809 [0.01075]	<b>-0.01248***</b> <b>[0.00442]</b>	-0.00574 [0.00366]	<b>-0.02714**</b> <b>[0.01344]</b>	<b>-0.00626**</b> <b>[0.00306]</b>	0.00009 [0.00331]	<b>-0.01972***</b> <b>[0.00508]</b>
Wage index Ages 1-6	<b>0.00340**</b> <b>[0.00156]</b>	<b>0.00321*</b> <b>[0.00165]</b>	<b>0.01146**</b> <b>[0.00547]</b>	0.0004 [0.00118]	0.00011 [0.00107]	-0.0062 [0.00866]	-0.00088 [0.00082]	-0.00107 [0.00081]	<b>-0.00708***</b> <b>[0.00266]</b>
N	33,621	25,772	7,849	61,850	47,064	14,786	48,602	36,879	11,723
YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
HRS Survey Year FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Individual Covariates	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Birth Region FE*YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Add'l state controls*linear trends	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Share of Manufacturing*YOB FE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Note: Please see notes in Table 3. The Dust Bowl and neighboring states include New Mexico, Colorado, Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas and the neighboring states include Arkansas, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming.

**Appendix Table 6.** The Effect of the Employment Index and the Log (New Deal Spending p.c.) on Human Capital, Metabolic Syndrome, and Physical Limitations

	Human Capital Index			Metabolic Syndrome			Physical Limitations Index		
	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-9
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	0.00039 [0.00566]	-0.00034 [0.00568]	0.00541 [0.00497]	-0.00056 [0.00352]	-0.00248 [0.00346]	-0.00321 [0.00376]	0.00045 [0.00289]	0.00008 [0.00302]	-0.00011 [0.00329]
Wage index In-utero - Birth	<b>0.00753**</b> [ <b>0.00378</b> ]	<b>0.00719*</b> [ <b>0.00372</b> ]	0.00701 [0.00422]	<b>-0.01248***</b> [ <b>0.00442</b> ]	<b>-0.01227***</b> [ <b>0.00443</b> ]	-0.0101 [0.00638]	<b>-0.00626**</b> [ <b>0.00306</b> ]	<b>-0.00620**</b> [ <b>0.00305</b> ]	-0.00531 [0.00372]
Wage index Ages 1-6	<b>0.00340**</b> [ <b>0.00156</b> ]	<b>0.00320**</b> [ <b>0.00159</b> ]	<b>0.00316*</b> [ <b>0.00177</b> ]	0.0004 [0.00118]	0.00018 [0.00122]	0.00005 [0.00122]	-0.00088 [0.00082]	-0.00086 [0.00085]	-0.00091 [0.00086]
Log (ND spending p.c.)		0.03779 [0.03383]	0.0279 [0.03543]		<b>-0.09569***</b> [ <b>0.02263</b> ]	<b>-0.08073***</b> [ <b>0.03105</b> ]		-0.02653 [0.01666]	-0.02078 [0.01897]
Wage index IU-EC *			<b>0.00015*</b> [ <b>0.00008</b> ]			-0.00015 [0.00021]			-0.00006 [0.00011]
N	33,621	33,503	33,503	61,850	61,552	61,552	48,602	48,376	48,376

Note: Please see notes in Table 3. The data on New Deal spending comes from Fishback, Kantor, and Wallis (2003). Wage index IU – EC refers to the wages from in-utero up to the relevant age when the shock affects the outcome according to results shown in Tables 3 and 4. For instance, Wage index IU – EC in columns 1-3 covers the period from in-utero up to age 6 while in columns 4-6 and 7-9, Wage index IU – EC only covers the in-utero period (and controls for Wage index Ages 1-6).

**Appendix Table 7.** The Effect of the Wage Index and WWII Mobilization Rates on Human Capital, Metabolic Syndrome, and Physical Limitations

	Human Capital Index	Metabolic Syndrome	Physical Limitations Index
	(1)	(2)	(3)
<i>Not controlling for WWII Mobilization Rates</i>			
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	0.00466 [0.00508]	-0.00056 [0.00352]	0.00045 [0.00289]
Wage index – In utero-post birth	<b>0.00501***</b> <b>[0.00153]</b>	<b>-0.01248***</b> <b>[0.00442]</b>	<b>-0.00626**</b> <b>[0.00306]</b>
<i>Controlling for WWII Mobilization Rates</i>			
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	0.00504 [0.00468]	-0.00069 [0.00350]	0.00037 [0.00287]
Wage index in “early-life”	<b>0.00569***</b> <b>[0.00162]</b>	<b>-0.01259***</b> <b>[0.00466]</b>	<b>-0.00666**</b> <b>[0.00299]</b>
Wage index IU-EC * HMR	<b>-0.00183***</b> <b>[0.00065]</b>	0.00022 [0.00142]	<b>0.00142*</b> <b>[0.00074]</b>
N	33,621	61,850	48,602
YOB FE	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X
HRS Survey Year FE	X	X	X
Individual Covariates	X	X	X
HMR dummy	X	X	X
Birth Region FE*YOB FE	X	X	X
Add'l state controls*linear trends	X	X	X
Share of Manufacturing*YOB FE	X	X	X

Note: Please see notes in Table 3. The data on WWII mobilization rates are from Acemoglu, Autor, and Lyle (2004). HMR is a dummy that indicates whether a state had a high mobilization rate, or a rate above the national average. All three models also control for the main effect of HMR. Wage index IU – EC refers to the wages from in-utero up to the relevant age when the shock affects the outcome according to results shown in Tables 3 and 4. For instance, Wage index IU – EC in column 1 covers the period from in-utero up to age 6 while in columns 2 and 3, Wage index IU – EC only covers the in-utero period (and controls for Wage index Ages 1-6).

**Appendix Table 8.** The Effect of the Wage Index on Human Capital, Metabolic Syndrome, and Physical Limitation by Mother’s Education

	<HS	HS+
	(1)	(2)
<b><i>Outcome: Human Capital Index</i></b>	N=21,165	N=12,456
Index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00106 [0.00945]	-0.00315 [0.00823]
Index - In-utero	<b>0.01069*</b> <b>[0.00566]</b>	0.00613 [0.00827]
Index - Post birth	0.00236 [0.00206]	<b>0.00604*</b> <b>[0.00316]</b>
Mean (SD) - outcome	0.19 (1.01)	0.68 (0.88)
Effect of a 1 SD decline in salaries in-utero	0.22	
<b><i>Outcome: Metabolic Syndrome Index</i></b>	N=38,888	N=22,962
Index Ages -3 to -2	0.00514 [0.00593]	-0.00883 [0.00750]
Index - In-utero	<b>-0.01361***</b> <b>[0.00474]</b>	-0.01209 [0.00799]
Index - Post birth	-0.00053 [0.00167]	0.00167 [0.00213]
Mean (SD) - outcome	0.02 (0.97)	-0.12 (0.90)
Effect of a 1 SD decline in salaries in-utero	-0.26	
<b><i>Outcome: Physical Limitations Index</i></b>	N=30,417	N=18,185
Index Ages -3 to -2	0.00031 [0.00527]	-0.000106 [0.00393]
Index - In-utero	<b>-0.01184***</b> <b>[0.00359]</b>	0.00318 [0.00371]
Index - Post birth	0.00036 [0.000155]	<b>-0.00210*</b> <b>[0.00108]</b>
Mean (SD) - outcome	-0.14 (0.72)	-0.24 (0.57)
Effect of a 1 SD decline in salaries in-utero	-0.24	

Note: Please see notes in Table 3. Mother’s education is defined as whether a respondent’s mother has less than a high school degree versus more.

**Appendix Table 9.** The Effect of the Wage Index on Height (in meters) by Sex

	Full Sample	Men	Women
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Salaries Index Ages -3 to -2	0.00033 [0.00049]	0.00025 [0.00077]	0.00079 [0.00057]
Salaries Index In-utero - Birth	-0.00013 [0.00045]	0.00102 [0.00077]	<b>-0.00100*</b> <b>[0.00056]</b>
Salaries Index Ages 1-6	-0.000153 [0.00009]	-0.00009 [0.00021]	<b>-0.00027***</b> <b>[0.00010]</b>
N	61,850	28,285	33,478
Mean (SD) [measured in meters]	1.71 (0.10)	1.79 (0.07)	1.64 (0.07)
Effect of a 1SD decline in salaries in-utero			-1.2%

Note: Please see notes in Table 3.

**Appendix Table 10.** The Effect of the Wage index on the Probability of Moving from the State of Birth to a Different State During Primary Schooling Years

	Moved to a different state during primary schooling years	
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.00261 [0.00229]	-0.00290 [0.00226]
Wage index In-utero (IU) - Age 6	<b>-0.00128**</b> <b>[0.00063]</b>	-0.00120 [0.00094]
Wages IU-Age 6 * Child is female		-0.00008 [0.00027]
Wages IU-Age 6 * Child is Black		-0.00030 [0.00033]
Wages IU-Age 6 * Child is other race		0.00092 [0.00085]
Wages IU-Age 6 * Mother has no educ		0.00005 [0.00054]
Wages IU-Age 6 * Mother has HS		0.00006 [0.00059]
Wages IU-Age 6 * Mother's educ is missing		-0.00070 [0.00061]
Wages IU-Age 6 * Father not present		-0.00019 [0.00035]
Wages IU-Age 6 * Father's location is missing		0.00017 [0.00026]
N	61,850	61,850
Mean		0.222
YOB FE	X	X
SOB FE	X	X
HRS Survey Year FE	X	X
Individual Covariates	X	X
Birth Region FE*YOB FE	X	X
Add'l state controls*linear trends	X	X
Share of Manufacturing*YOB FE	X	X

Note: Each column is obtained from a separate regression of the outcome variable on the wage index at different ages. The outcome variable is a dummy that takes the value of one if an individual reports a state of residence by age 10 different to the state of birth, and zero otherwise. Standard controls and fixed effects are included in the model. Estimates are weighted using the HRS weights and robust standard errors are jointly clustered at the state of birth and individual level. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.

**Appendix Table 11.** The Association between the Wage Index and Women’s Fertility using U.S. Census 1940 Data

	Total fertility		Timing between births	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Wage index Ages -3 to -2	-0.0001 [0.0001]	-0.0000 [0.0001]	0.0000 [0.0001]	0.0001 [0.0001]
Wage index In-utero to Age 6	<b>0.0004*</b> <b>[0.0002]</b>	-0.0025 [0.0018]	-0.0003 [0.0003]	-0.0001 [0.0020]
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Child is female		0.0001 [0.0002]		-0.0003 [0.0003]
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Mother is white		0.0008 [0.0013]		0.0004 [0.0014]
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Mother is Black		0.0001 [0.0013]		0.0019 [0.0017]
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Mother is married		<b>0.0014***</b> <b>[0.0005]</b>		-0.0011 [0.0010]
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Mother has<HS		<b>0.0014**</b> <b>[0.0006]</b>		-0.0010 [0.0009]
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Mother has HS		<b>0.0009*</b> <b>[0.0005]</b>		-0.0010 [0.0010]
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Mother's age<30		0.0011 [0.0011]		<b>0.0030***</b> <b>[0.0008]</b>
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Mother's age 31-39		<b>0.0024***</b> <b>[0.0007]</b>		<b>0.0010*</b> <b>[0.0005]</b>
Wages In-utero to Age 6 * Urban household		<b>-0.0014**</b> <b>[0.0005]</b>		0.0002 [0.0004]
N	109,650	109,650	76,782	76,782
Mean	3 children		3.2 years	
YOB FE	X	X	X	X
SOB FE	X	X	X	X
Individual controls	X	X	X	X
Birth Region FE*YOB FE	X	X	X	X
State-controls in 1930 * birth year	X	X	X	X
State-specific linear time trends	X	X	X	X

Note: the sample includes children observed in Census 1940. Models include child characteristics (sex, age, and age2), family characteristics (mother’s age, education, race, marital status, whether the household lives in the urban area of the state), child’s birth state, birth year, and birth region\*birth year FE, state-level controls measured in 1930 interacted with linear time trends, state-specific linear time trends, and a state’s manufacturing share in 1930 \* year of birth FE. Standard errors are clustered at the state of birth level. \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.10.